



Hearing Transcript

| | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Project: | EN010170 - Green Hill Solar Farm |
| Hearing: | Open Floor Hearing 3 - Part 1 |
| Date: | 10 March 2026 |

Please note: This document is intended to assist Interested Parties.

It is not a verbatim text of what was said at the above hearing. The content was produced using artificial intelligence voice to text software. It may, therefore, include errors and should be assumed to be unedited.

The video recording published on the Planning Inspectorate project page is the primary record of the hearing.

Created by event.video

1

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.725

Well good afternoon everyone.

2

00:00:02.585 --> 00:00:06.125

Um, it is now 2:00 PM and time for this hearing.

3

00:00:06.265 --> 00:00:10.045

To begin, I would like to welcome you all

4

00:00:10.065 --> 00:00:14.565

to this open floor hearing, um, which you may find referred

5

00:00:14.625 --> 00:00:16.685

to as OFH three

6

00:00:17.425 --> 00:00:20.125

for the Greenhill Solar Farm Project.

7

00:00:21.345 --> 00:00:23.005

Um, could I just confirm

8

00:00:23.005 --> 00:00:26.165

that everybody can hear me clearly, um, in the room?

9

00:00:27.015 --> 00:00:28.725

Great, thank you. Um,

10

00:00:28.905 --> 00:00:31.405

and anyone on online, if they could just indicate,

11

00:00:33.835 --> 00:00:34.885

okay, great, thank you.

12

00:00:36.545 --> 00:00:40.205

Um, and could I also confirm with the case manager

13

00:00:40.315 --> 00:00:41.885

that the live streaming

14
00:00:41.945 --> 00:00:44.485
and recording of this event has commenced?

15
00:00:45.425 --> 00:00:47.085
Got a thumbs up from the back. Thank you.

16
00:00:48.025 --> 00:00:49.805
Um, so my name is Mark Harrison.

17
00:00:50.265 --> 00:00:52.125
I'm a charter, uh, a planning inspector

18
00:00:52.265 --> 00:00:53.525
and charter town planner.

19
00:00:54.555 --> 00:00:56.685
I've been appointed by the Secretary of State

20
00:00:56.745 --> 00:00:59.285
to be the lead member of the panel

21
00:00:59.825 --> 00:01:01.685
to examine this application.

22
00:01:02.465 --> 00:01:06.285
Um, I'll now ask the other panel members, uh, one

23
00:01:06.285 --> 00:01:09.005
of whom is online to introduce themselves.

24
00:01:11.725 --> 00:01:13.575
Good afternoon. My name is Catherine Bebe.

25
00:01:13.635 --> 00:01:16.215
I'm a town planner as an examining inspector.

26
00:01:17.125 --> 00:01:19.335
Been appointed as a panel member by the of

27
00:01:19.335 --> 00:01:20.855

of the examining authority.

28

00:01:23.905 --> 00:01:25.755

Good afternoon. My name's Rebecca Norman.

29

00:01:25.775 --> 00:01:28.075

I'm a chartered town planner and examining inspector.

30

00:01:28.545 --> 00:01:30.235

I've been appointed as a panel member

31

00:01:30.255 --> 00:01:31.435

of the examining authority.

32

00:01:32.615 --> 00:01:35.155

So together we constitute the examining authority

33

00:01:35.215 --> 00:01:36.275

for this application.

34

00:01:37.095 --> 00:01:38.875

Our role is to examine the application

35

00:01:38.935 --> 00:01:41.715

and to report to the Secretary of State for energy security

36

00:01:41.895 --> 00:01:44.235

and net zero with a recommendation as to whether

37

00:01:44.235 --> 00:01:46.595

or not the development consent order should be made.

38

00:01:47.495 --> 00:01:49.195

I'd also like to introduce the members

39

00:01:49.195 --> 00:01:50.715

of the Punning Inspector's case team

40

00:01:50.735 --> 00:01:51.915

who are supporting us today.

41

00:01:52.655 --> 00:01:54.355

Um, you may have already spoken with them.

42

00:01:54.455 --> 00:01:57.195

We have in the room Ryan Sedgman, who's case manager

43

00:01:57.255 --> 00:02:00.315

for this project, together with Sam Stevens, case Officer

44

00:02:01.355 --> 00:02:03.755

Gerwin Rigby assisted with online registrations

45

00:02:04.095 --> 00:02:07.075

and in addition, technicians from the audio Visual company

46

00:02:07.205 --> 00:02:10.435

event video and TM services are in attendance at the back

47

00:02:10.435 --> 00:02:11.915

of the rooms solely for the purpose

48

00:02:12.055 --> 00:02:13.235

of managing the recording

49

00:02:13.355 --> 00:02:14.955

and the live streaming for this hearing.

50

00:02:16.215 --> 00:02:18.435

So I'll now deal with a few housekeeping matters

51

00:02:18.495 --> 00:02:19.795

for those attending in person.

52

00:02:20.575 --> 00:02:22.355

Uh, please can everyone set all devices

53

00:02:22.455 --> 00:02:23.475

and phones to silent?

54

00:02:24.375 --> 00:02:26.875

Um, you'll be aware that toilets are located just outside

55

00:02:26.875 --> 00:02:29.435
of this room and there is no fire alarm

56

00:02:29.465 --> 00:02:30.555
test planned for today.

57

00:02:30.695 --> 00:02:33.235
So if we hear the alarm, we'll need to make our way out

58

00:02:33.235 --> 00:02:34.595
through the doors at the rear of the room

59

00:02:35.335 --> 00:02:37.755
and to the assembly area, which is the carpark.

60

00:02:38.745 --> 00:02:41.195
I'll now move on to briefly talk about the agenda

61

00:02:41.615 --> 00:02:43.075
and logistics for the hearing.

62

00:02:44.975 --> 00:02:46.595
So we published a short agenda

63

00:02:46.595 --> 00:02:48.155
for this hearing on the 2nd of March

64

00:02:48.155 --> 00:02:52.635
with an examination library reference of E 10 0 0 1.

65

00:02:53.295 --> 00:02:55.995
The purpose of this hearing is to hear the representations

66

00:02:55.995 --> 00:02:58.115
of interested parties who have registered

67

00:02:58.415 --> 00:03:01.085
to make oral representations elaborating on their

68

00:03:01.085 --> 00:03:02.205
written representations.

69

00:03:02.265 --> 00:03:04.845
To date, the order in which you'll be invited

70

00:03:04.865 --> 00:03:06.165
to speak will follow the list

71

00:03:06.485 --> 00:03:07.805
provided to us by the case team.

72

00:03:09.035 --> 00:03:11.605
Today's hearing is being undertaken in a hybrid way,

73

00:03:11.605 --> 00:03:13.405
meaning some of you're present with us at the venue

74

00:03:13.505 --> 00:03:16.205
and some are joining virtually via Microsoft Teams.

75

00:03:16.785 --> 00:03:17.965
We all make sure that

76

00:03:17.965 --> 00:03:19.685
however you've decided to attend today,

77

00:03:19.785 --> 00:03:22.525
you will be given a fair opportunity to participate.

78

00:03:24.405 --> 00:03:27.165
A recording of today's hearing will be made available on the

79

00:03:27.165 --> 00:03:28.325
Greenhill project section

80

00:03:28.385 --> 00:03:30.045
of the National Infrastructure Planning

81

00:03:30.045 --> 00:03:31.405

website as soon as possible.

82

00:03:31.405 --> 00:03:32.565
After the hearing is finished.

83

00:03:33.515 --> 00:03:35.165
With this in mind, please ensure

84

00:03:35.165 --> 00:03:37.885
that you speak clearly into the microphone stating your name

85

00:03:37.905 --> 00:03:40.605
and who you are representing a

86

00:03:40.605 --> 00:03:41.645
link to the planning inspector.

87

00:03:41.675 --> 00:03:43.005
It's privacy notice was

88

00:03:43.285 --> 00:03:45.045
provided in the notification for this hearing.

89

00:03:45.665 --> 00:03:47.885
We assume that everybody here today has familiarized

90

00:03:47.885 --> 00:03:50.165
themselves with this document, which establishes

91

00:03:50.165 --> 00:03:53.485
how personal data of our customers is handled in accordance

92

00:03:53.485 --> 00:03:56.005
with the principles set out in data protection laws.

93

00:03:56.465 --> 00:03:58.285
Please speak to the case manager if you

94

00:03:58.285 --> 00:03:59.405
have any questions about this.

95

00:04:00.665 --> 00:04:03.325

So let me move on to briefly explain the purpose

96

00:04:03.385 --> 00:04:04.605

of this open floor hearing.

97

00:04:05.675 --> 00:04:07.485

Open floor hearings are your opportunity

98

00:04:07.505 --> 00:04:09.765

to raise anything directly to the examining authority

99

00:04:09.765 --> 00:04:11.165

that is important and relevant

100

00:04:11.165 --> 00:04:13.525

and that you think we should know about and consider.

101

00:04:13.995 --> 00:04:16.325

They're not about a particular locational topic.

102

00:04:16.785 --> 00:04:19.485

The topic of your representations about the proposals is

103

00:04:19.485 --> 00:04:20.525

therefore up to yourself.

104

00:04:21.495 --> 00:04:23.285

We're familiar with your representations,

105

00:04:23.425 --> 00:04:25.885

so when speaking you don't need to repeat these at length.

106

00:04:26.315 --> 00:04:29.045

What we are seeking is for you to provide further detail

107

00:04:29.045 --> 00:04:31.045

or evidence to help us understand these issues

108

00:04:32.225 --> 00:04:33.485

to make best use of time.

109

00:04:33.865 --> 00:04:35.925

And whilst we're not wishing to limit contributions,

110

00:04:35.925 --> 00:04:38.765

it would be of great assistance if points were not repeated.

111

00:04:39.385 --> 00:04:40.405

You can rest assured

112

00:04:40.405 --> 00:04:43.005

that we will have understood the points made the first time

113

00:04:44.075 --> 00:04:46.605

similarly if you spoke either OFH one

114

00:04:46.605 --> 00:04:49.045

or OFH two in December, 2025

115

00:04:49.585 --> 00:04:50.805

and there's no need for you

116

00:04:50.805 --> 00:04:53.285

to repeat your comments at OFH three today.

117

00:04:53.555 --> 00:04:56.485

However, if you have any new comments to make in relation

118

00:04:56.485 --> 00:05:00.685

to the applicant's change request documents C 1 0 0 1

119

00:05:00.685 --> 00:05:03.285

to C 1 0 4 oh and

120

00:05:03.425 --> 00:05:07.445

or change request two which are documents C 2 0 0 1

121

00:05:07.865 --> 00:05:10.525

to CR 2 0 2 4, then you may do so.

122

00:05:12.145 --> 00:05:15.205

We do not intend on setting a strict time limit on people

123

00:05:15.485 --> 00:05:17.965

speaking, but as stated in the published agenda,

124

00:05:18.025 --> 00:05:19.805

we would ask that your submissions are concise

125

00:05:20.225 --> 00:05:21.245

and to the point and

126

00:05:21.245 --> 00:05:23.165

to be concluded within about 10 minutes.

127

00:05:23.745 --> 00:05:27.045

If many unregistered people wish to speak, then we may have

128

00:05:27.045 --> 00:05:28.205

to introduce a time limit.

129

00:05:29.515 --> 00:05:31.205

Once you have spoken, the panel may

130

00:05:31.205 --> 00:05:32.285

wish to ask you questions.

131

00:05:32.705 --> 00:05:34.965

And finally, the applicant will be given an opportunity

132

00:05:35.065 --> 00:05:38.325

to respond to any matters raised once all speakers have been

133

00:05:38.325 --> 00:05:39.445

heard either orally

134

00:05:39.745 --> 00:05:42.765

or in writing by deadline six, which is the 24th of March.

135

00:05:44.425 --> 00:05:47.245

Uh, for those attending virtually, please can I request that

136

00:05:47.245 --> 00:05:49.245

to minimize background noise, you make sure

137

00:05:49.245 --> 00:05:51.085

that you stay muted when you're not speaking

138

00:05:51.225 --> 00:05:52.405

and have camera turned off.

139

00:05:53.085 --> 00:05:55.245

I would also ask that when it's your turn to speak,

140

00:05:55.245 --> 00:05:56.485

please turn your camera on.

141

00:05:57.025 --> 00:05:58.605

Um, and can I also remind people

142

00:05:58.635 --> 00:06:00.525

that the chat function on Microsoft

143

00:06:00.525 --> 00:06:01.645

Teams has been switched off?

144

00:06:01.665 --> 00:06:03.085

So please do not try to use this

145

00:06:03.085 --> 00:06:04.765

to ask questions or post comments.

146

00:06:06.075 --> 00:06:07.405

It's perfectly normal for people

147

00:06:07.405 --> 00:06:09.285

to feel nervous when having to speak in public.

148

00:06:09.625 --> 00:06:11.725

Please be reassured that we completely understand

149

00:06:11.825 --> 00:06:13.245

and that if you stumble over your words

150

00:06:13.345 --> 00:06:15.845

or need to repeat something, this isn't a problem at all.

151

00:06:17.275 --> 00:06:19.125

Does anyone have any questions on the speaking

152

00:06:19.125 --> 00:06:20.445

process in the room?

153

00:06:21.755 --> 00:06:24.165

Nope. Anyone online? Any questions?

154

00:06:26.465 --> 00:06:27.605

No. Okay.

155

00:06:27.745 --> 00:06:30.325

So we'll move on to confirm those who've notified the EXA

156

00:06:30.325 --> 00:06:31.605

of A wish to be heard at the hearing.

157

00:06:32.425 --> 00:06:36.325

So before we hear from any speakers, um, can I ask, um, who,

158

00:06:36.325 --> 00:06:38.565

if anyone will be speaking for the applicant today?

159

00:06:41.425 --> 00:06:44.085

Oh yeah, Ms. Budget. Thank you very much.

160

00:06:47.335 --> 00:06:50.845

Thank you. So I will shortly invite representations from

161

00:06:50.845 --> 00:06:53.645

those interested parties who have given the requested notice

162

00:06:54.225 --> 00:06:55.885

to us of their intention to speak.

163

00:06:56.625 --> 00:06:58.245

Um, so I'll just run through these.

164

00:06:58.705 --> 00:07:00.845

Um, can I check that we have present either

165

00:07:00.865 --> 00:07:02.005

in the room or online?

166

00:07:02.745 --> 00:07:05.565

Um, Mr. Phillip Mason from g Grendon Parish Council?

167

00:07:06.115 --> 00:07:08.725

Yeah, thank you. Um,

168

00:07:09.845 --> 00:07:12.205

I did have Deborah Rush, um,

169

00:07:12.355 --> 00:07:14.245

from Cogno Western Parish Council,

170

00:07:14.345 --> 00:07:16.485

but she may or may not tend. Do

171

00:07:16.485 --> 00:07:17.485

We have Deborah?

172

00:07:17.995 --> 00:07:20.965

Nope. Okay, thank

173

00:07:20.965 --> 00:07:21.965

You. Um,

174

00:07:21.965 --> 00:07:23.805

I've got Stuart Andrew mp.

175

00:07:24.815 --> 00:07:28.405

Thank you. Uh, Sarah Ball mp. Thank you.

176

00:07:30.505 --> 00:07:32.725

Uh, Trevor Higgs from Grendon Walking Group.

177

00:07:33.375 --> 00:07:38.285

Thank you. Uh, Brian Skit from CPRE, Northampton

178

00:07:38.285 --> 00:07:39.405

and Boje Parish Council.

179

00:07:39.545 --> 00:07:43.645

Yep. Thank you. Uh, Dr. Linda Tuy.

180

00:07:44.335 --> 00:07:48.445

Thank you. Uh, we've got, uh,

181

00:07:48.545 --> 00:07:50.165

Tim Ma may, he

182

00:07:50.165 --> 00:07:52.285

Might not be here, but Possibly not here.

183

00:07:52.995 --> 00:07:57.365

Nope. Okay. No.

184

00:07:58.625 --> 00:08:00.725

Uh, Kay Brown for stop. Greenhill Solar.

185

00:08:03.145 --> 00:08:04.145

Uh, Tim Graham.

186

00:08:04.935 --> 00:08:08.765

Thank you. Uh, Bernard. Ey?

187

00:08:09.675 --> 00:08:13.485

Yeah, thank you. Uh, Susan Brady.

188

00:08:17.705 --> 00:08:21.845

So Susan, I don't think she's on my list. No.

189

00:08:22.065 --> 00:08:24.085

Oh, okay.

190

00:08:25.385 --> 00:08:28.325

Uh, Dr. Marie Midgley. Thank you.

191

00:08:29.625 --> 00:08:31.805

Sheena Howell. Thank

192

00:08:31.805 --> 00:08:32.805

You.

193

00:08:33.945 --> 00:08:38.245

Um, and then I've also got, um, Tony Mulvaney.

194

00:08:38.795 --> 00:08:41.005

Yeah. Thank you. And Keith Burl.

195

00:08:41.935 --> 00:08:42.405

Thank you.

196

00:08:45.705 --> 00:08:47.685

Uh, yeah.

197

00:08:47.685 --> 00:08:49.085

Yeah. Okay.

198

00:08:49.505 --> 00:08:51.445

Uh, can I ask if there's anyone here today,

199

00:08:51.445 --> 00:08:53.845

either in the room or online who wishes to speak, um,

200

00:08:53.845 --> 00:08:56.285

that hasn't registered and hasn't been covered on that list?

201

00:08:57.745 --> 00:08:57.965

Yep.

202

00:09:02.625 --> 00:09:04.845

Can you, uh, tell us your name then please?

203

00:09:05.605 --> 00:09:06.445

I think there's A microphone.

204

00:09:06.525 --> 00:09:07.565

I think there's a microphone coming.

205

00:09:07.635 --> 00:09:08.635

Yeah.

206

00:09:13.825 --> 00:09:15.765

My name is Steve Townsend.

207

00:09:22.945 --> 00:09:27.935

Thank you. Is there anyone else in the room? No.

208

00:09:28.115 --> 00:09:33.095

Is there anyone online? No.

209

00:09:33.365 --> 00:09:35.575

Okay. So I'm now gonna move on

210

00:09:35.575 --> 00:09:37.495

to invite those registered parties to speak.

211

00:09:37.955 --> 00:09:40.015

Um, when I read out your name, if you're in the room,

212

00:09:40.015 --> 00:09:42.055

please come to the table in front.

213

00:09:42.475 --> 00:09:44.375

Um, and if online, please turn camera on

214

00:09:44.725 --> 00:09:46.015

when it's your turn to speak.

215

00:09:46.195 --> 00:09:47.575

Um, please turn on the microphone

216

00:09:48.035 --> 00:09:49.735

and start by introducing yourself

217

00:09:49.755 --> 00:09:51.575

and if relevant to who you are representing.

218

00:09:52.355 --> 00:09:53.895

Uh, can I please remind you not

219

00:09:53.895 --> 00:09:56.135

to supply any personal details when speaking?

220

00:09:56.275 --> 00:09:58.735

For example, do not state your home, address your age,

221

00:09:58.835 --> 00:09:59.975

any medical conditions,

222

00:10:00.315 --> 00:10:01.455

and if you feel like you need

223

00:10:01.455 --> 00:10:04.375

to supply such personal information to us, please talk

224

00:10:04.375 --> 00:10:06.135

to the case team about how you can do that.

225

00:10:08.315 --> 00:10:10.575

So we'll begin with Mr. Mason then.

226

00:10:19.105 --> 00:10:19.575

Thank you.

227

00:10:20.395 --> 00:10:21.415

Do you want us to sit down?

228

00:10:32.445 --> 00:10:36.105

Is this on? Yes, uh, good afternoon.

229

00:10:36.365 --> 00:10:39.745

My name is uh, Philip Mason, counselor for uh,

230

00:10:39.745 --> 00:10:40.745
grand Barrish Council.

231

00:10:41.965 --> 00:10:43.265
I'm here on behalf of the council

232

00:10:43.365 --> 00:10:47.225
and our residents who object to the proposal, uh,

233

00:10:47.255 --> 00:10:49.945
Greenhill Solar Farm due to its very close proximity

234

00:10:50.725 --> 00:10:53.865
to the upper Neen Valley gravel pits special protection area

235

00:10:54.305 --> 00:10:56.945
SBA and the Neen Valley Ramsar site.

236

00:10:57.645 --> 00:10:59.865
And this would inevitably place 'em at risk.

237

00:11:00.555 --> 00:11:01.705
These sites, as you know,

238

00:11:01.725 --> 00:11:03.665
are internationally protected wetlands

239

00:11:03.765 --> 00:11:05.825
of the highest ecological importance

240

00:11:07.985 --> 00:11:10.665
Examining authorities report on the implications

241

00:11:10.665 --> 00:11:12.025
for European sites.

242

00:11:12.505 --> 00:11:15.385
Reese identifies a number of negative impacts,

243

00:11:15.915 --> 00:11:18.985

which it concludes cannot be ruled out at this stage.

244

00:11:19.415 --> 00:11:21.585

Several of these remain unresolved and

245

00:11:21.585 --> 00:11:23.465

therefore give rise to serious concern.

246

00:11:25.125 --> 00:11:27.825

Our main areas of concern regarding this particular aspect

247

00:11:28.405 --> 00:11:31.065

are the location of the proposed solar farm

248

00:11:31.165 --> 00:11:32.585

and associated infrastructure

249

00:11:32.645 --> 00:11:33.745

and bears in particular

250

00:11:34.325 --> 00:11:37.265

as it's immediately adjacent being just 10 meters.

251

00:11:37.365 --> 00:11:41.185

In places to these protected SPA and Ramsar wetlands.

252

00:11:42.525 --> 00:11:44.825

We consider that there is no meaningful separation

253

00:11:44.825 --> 00:11:47.225

between the development and the protected wetlands.

254

00:11:48.365 --> 00:11:52.145

Any changes to drainage, land management or activity levels

255

00:11:52.655 --> 00:11:54.125

therefore have the potential

256

00:11:54.145 --> 00:11:56.085

to affect the integrity of these sites.

257

00:11:57.585 --> 00:11:58.605

The Reese confirms

258

00:11:58.605 --> 00:12:02.605

that probable significant effects have been identified in

259

00:12:02.885 --> 00:12:03.925

relation to a number of areas

260

00:12:04.825 --> 00:12:08.565

and include disturbance to qualifying bird species

261

00:12:08.585 --> 00:12:09.805

during construction

262

00:12:09.985 --> 00:12:13.765

and their operation habitat fragmentation

263

00:12:14.065 --> 00:12:18.325

and loss of functionality linked land, airborne

264

00:12:18.325 --> 00:12:21.205

and waterborne pollution, surface water runoff,

265

00:12:21.665 --> 00:12:24.725

and the spread of invasive and non-native species.

266

00:12:26.615 --> 00:12:30.285

These, uh, these effects apply to both the SPA

267

00:12:30.465 --> 00:12:31.685

and the Ramsar site

268

00:12:32.505 --> 00:12:35.285

and in several cases are still subject to disagreement

269

00:12:35.835 --> 00:12:38.045

between the applicant natural England

270

00:12:38.105 --> 00:12:39.525

and other interested parties.

271

00:12:41.425 --> 00:12:44.005

The council is particularly concerned about the risk

272

00:12:44.005 --> 00:12:46.565

of polluted surface water entering the wetland system.

273

00:12:48.225 --> 00:12:50.125

The site lies within the floodplain

274

00:12:50.465 --> 00:12:52.925

and there are ongoing concerns about whether the proposed

275

00:12:52.925 --> 00:12:56.685

drainage and pollution control measures would effectively,

276

00:12:57.105 --> 00:13:00.045

uh, would operate effectively during the flood events.

277

00:13:01.145 --> 00:13:03.445

The presence of the bears increases this concern,

278

00:13:03.805 --> 00:13:06.405

particularly the event of a fire where contaminated

279

00:13:06.915 --> 00:13:08.965

fire water could reach watercourse

280

00:13:09.155 --> 00:13:10.645

connected to these wetlands.

281

00:13:12.095 --> 00:13:13.105

Both the wetlands

282

00:13:13.125 --> 00:13:16.265

and the proposed development sit within the same floodplain.

283

00:13:17.245 --> 00:13:19.025

Our local experience shows

284

00:13:19.025 --> 00:13:21.225

that flooding is not uncommon in this area.

285

00:13:22.355 --> 00:13:24.345

These flood conditions increase the risk.

286

00:13:24.365 --> 00:13:27.785

The control measures that control measures do not work

287

00:13:27.845 --> 00:13:30.025

or are overtopped allowing sediment

288

00:13:30.085 --> 00:13:33.345

or pollutants to be carried towards the SPA

289

00:13:33.345 --> 00:13:34.465

and the Ramsar sites.

290

00:13:35.575 --> 00:13:37.945

According to the state of Nature report,

291

00:13:38.645 --> 00:13:41.785

the UK has already lost 90% of its wetlands

292

00:13:42.125 --> 00:13:45.065

and only 38% of these are in a healthy condition.

293

00:13:46.185 --> 00:13:47.525

So you can see our concern.

294

00:13:48.905 --> 00:13:51.605

The re also raises concerns about the adequacy

295

00:13:51.605 --> 00:13:55.905

of bird surveys, the identification of land used

296

00:13:55.905 --> 00:13:58.465

by qualifying species outside the SPA

297

00:13:59.045 --> 00:14:02.265

and the timing of proposed, uh, proposed mitigation.

298

00:14:03.405 --> 00:14:07.375

In particular, it remains unclear whether a suitable

299

00:14:07.405 --> 00:14:10.495

replacement habitat will be available before any loss

300

00:14:10.495 --> 00:14:11.735

or disturbance occurs.

301

00:14:13.035 --> 00:14:16.295

The council considers this uncertainty unacceptable given

302

00:14:16.315 --> 00:14:18.255

the sensitivity of the sites involved.

303

00:14:20.135 --> 00:14:22.465

We'd also like to inform the examination authority

304

00:14:22.535 --> 00:14:24.825

that the rare and endangered waterfall

305

00:14:25.355 --> 00:14:29.665

which are on the red list were recently reintroduced

306

00:14:29.725 --> 00:14:32.825

to the SSI and Ramsar and Neen wetlands last year

307

00:14:33.765 --> 00:14:36.265

and have already been cited in the proposed Bess area

308

00:14:37.045 --> 00:14:39.585

and to our knowledge has not been included in

309

00:14:39.585 --> 00:14:40.705

the environmental report.

310

00:14:42.865 --> 00:14:46.085

The council notes that the Greenhill Solar Farm does not

311

00:14:46.095 --> 00:14:47.205

exist in isolation.

312

00:14:48.625 --> 00:14:49.925

The risk confirms that

313

00:14:50.675 --> 00:14:53.205

that effects must be considered in combination

314

00:14:53.205 --> 00:14:54.645

with other plans and projects.

315

00:14:55.395 --> 00:14:58.805

However, concerns remain that cumulative impacts,

316

00:14:58.805 --> 00:15:01.845

particularly in relation to disturbance, hydrology,

317

00:15:02.395 --> 00:15:05.725

hydrology and pollution have not been fully resolved yet

318

00:15:07.255 --> 00:15:08.885

Given The very close proximity

319

00:15:08.945 --> 00:15:10.285

of the proposed development

320

00:15:10.285 --> 00:15:12.165

to the internationally protected wetlands,

321

00:15:13.335 --> 00:15:14.475

the council considers

322

00:15:14.475 --> 00:15:16.635

that Greenhill Solar Farm carries an inherently

323

00:15:16.705 --> 00:15:17.715

high risk of harm.

324

00:15:19.075 --> 00:15:22.075

Examining authorities report identifies a number

325

00:15:22.075 --> 00:15:24.115
of impacts which cannot yet be ruled out

326

00:15:24.335 --> 00:15:27.195
and important matters remain unresolved

327

00:15:29.015 --> 00:15:30.075
in these circumstances

328

00:15:30.495 --> 00:15:32.235
and applying the precautionary approach

329

00:15:32.875 --> 00:15:36.315
required under the habitat's regulations, we consider

330

00:15:36.705 --> 00:15:38.555
that adverse effects on the integrity

331

00:15:38.575 --> 00:15:40.315
of the Upper NE Valley gravel pits.

332

00:15:40.515 --> 00:15:42.715
SPA and Ramsar sites cannot be excluded.

333

00:15:43.575 --> 00:15:46.315
The UK is already recognized as one

334

00:15:46.315 --> 00:15:49.315
of the most nature depleted countries in the world ranking

335

00:15:49.375 --> 00:15:50.595
in the bottom 10%.

336

00:15:53.095 --> 00:15:56.835
We also have grave concerns over the proposed compound site

337

00:15:56.975 --> 00:15:58.555
of the Yardley Road Grendon

338

00:15:58.895 --> 00:16:00.515
and the expected increase in traffic

339

00:16:01.575 --> 00:16:03.155
during your visit to Grendon.

340

00:16:03.175 --> 00:16:04.235
You will have seen the parking

341

00:16:04.295 --> 00:16:06.915
and the resulting restricted carriageway width along main

342

00:16:06.915 --> 00:16:11.115
road and that area this often causes traffic jams

343

00:16:11.585 --> 00:16:14.115
with hf, HVS buses

344

00:16:15.055 --> 00:16:17.235
and heavy vehicles encroaching onto the

345

00:16:17.235 --> 00:16:18.395
pavement in order to pass.

346

00:16:19.375 --> 00:16:21.875
The photo has been sent to the examiners showing an example

347

00:16:21.875 --> 00:16:24.995
of this, but this makes it extremely dangerous

348

00:16:24.995 --> 00:16:27.875
for pedestrians and school children walking to

349

00:16:27.875 --> 00:16:31.755
and from school and when going to the playing field, We

350

00:16:31.755 --> 00:16:33.195
therefore considering the sighting

351

00:16:33.195 --> 00:16:34.795

of such a compound is inappropriate

352

00:16:34.795 --> 00:16:38.155

and dangerous, the council therefore objects the proposal

353

00:16:38.255 --> 00:16:40.075

and considers the consent should not be granted.

354

00:16:41.685 --> 00:16:42.685

Thank you very much.

355

00:16:50.335 --> 00:16:53.885

Thank you Mr. Mason. Uh, next we've got Stuart Andrew.

356

00:17:02.915 --> 00:17:04.105

Thank you. Hello.

357

00:17:05.205 --> 00:17:06.705

Um, thank you very much

358

00:17:06.765 --> 00:17:09.105

and um, just to note that both Sarah Bull

359

00:17:09.105 --> 00:17:10.585

and I are expected back in

360

00:17:10.585 --> 00:17:12.105

Westminster this evening for a vote.

361

00:17:12.125 --> 00:17:13.585

So if you have to leave, I do apologize,

362

00:17:14.205 --> 00:17:16.145

but I want to take this opportunity to say thank you

363

00:17:16.245 --> 00:17:18.305

for giving me the opportunity

364

00:17:18.485 --> 00:17:20.505

to represent the literally hundreds

365

00:17:20.505 --> 00:17:23.865

and hundreds of constituents who have contacted me

366

00:17:23.865 --> 00:17:25.225

regarding this proposal.

367

00:17:25.975 --> 00:17:27.185

What a significant number

368

00:17:27.185 --> 00:17:28.785

of points have been presented to me.

369

00:17:28.785 --> 00:17:31.585

With your permission, I will concentrate on the most

370

00:17:31.585 --> 00:17:33.345

significant points that have been raised

371

00:17:33.345 --> 00:17:34.665

given the time constraints.

372

00:17:35.605 --> 00:17:38.625

The first is the loss of high quality agricultural land.

373

00:17:39.465 --> 00:17:42.705

A major concern is, uh, is the proposed developments use

374

00:17:42.705 --> 00:17:47.225

of best and most versatile agricultural land defined as land

375

00:17:47.925 --> 00:17:49.525

within grades one, two,

376

00:17:49.585 --> 00:17:53.365

and three A of the agricultural land classification system.

377

00:17:54.345 --> 00:17:57.285

The MPPF states that where significant development

378

00:17:57.285 --> 00:18:00.005

of agricultural land is necessary, areas

379

00:18:00.145 --> 00:18:02.645

of poorer quality land should be preferred

380

00:18:02.645 --> 00:18:03.805

to those of higher quality.

381

00:18:04.795 --> 00:18:08.205

Similarly, the national planning policy statement, uh,

382

00:18:08.225 --> 00:18:10.805

for renewable energy infrastructure EN three

383

00:18:11.555 --> 00:18:13.805

advises developers to consider the quality

384

00:18:13.865 --> 00:18:16.085

of agricultural land when selecting sites

385

00:18:16.145 --> 00:18:17.285

for solar development.

386

00:18:18.195 --> 00:18:20.165

Available information indicates

387

00:18:20.195 --> 00:18:21.805

that a substantial proportion

388

00:18:21.805 --> 00:18:25.845

of the site area lies within BMV classifications.

389

00:18:26.785 --> 00:18:27.885

The proposed development

390

00:18:27.885 --> 00:18:29.965

therefore risks the long-term loss

391

00:18:29.965 --> 00:18:34.165

of productive farmland across a large rural area and

392

00:18:34.165 --> 00:18:36.725

therefore this raises wider concerns in relation

393

00:18:36.725 --> 00:18:38.005

to national food security,

394

00:18:38.445 --> 00:18:40.845

particularly given the increasing pressures caused

395

00:18:40.905 --> 00:18:42.445

by climate change and

396

00:18:42.445 --> 00:18:44.965

of course the global supply instability.

397

00:18:45.155 --> 00:18:48.445

That is particularly highlighted given the current global

398

00:18:48.595 --> 00:18:49.845

political situation

399

00:18:50.825 --> 00:18:52.725

and also the irreversible change

400

00:18:52.785 --> 00:18:55.325

to soil quality resulting from construction,

401

00:18:55.775 --> 00:18:58.445

cable installation and NE decommissioning.

402

00:18:59.805 --> 00:19:02.205

Although solar development is sometimes described

403

00:19:02.225 --> 00:19:03.685

as a temporary land use,

404

00:19:04.465 --> 00:19:07.605

the proposed 60 year operational period represents

405

00:19:08.125 --> 00:19:10.005

multiple agricultural generations

406

00:19:10.545 --> 00:19:14.005

during which time the land would effectively be removed from

407

00:19:14.075 --> 00:19:15.245

full productive use.

408

00:19:16.185 --> 00:19:18.765

Indeed, I will probably be dead by the end time of the end

409

00:19:18.765 --> 00:19:22.125

of this project, as will probably most of its sponsors.

410

00:19:22.985 --> 00:19:25.885

But given these factors, the proposal doesn't align

411

00:19:25.885 --> 00:19:28.965

with the national policy objectives aimed at safeguarding

412

00:19:28.995 --> 00:19:31.085

high quality agricultural land

413

00:19:31.545 --> 00:19:34.165

for future food production.

414

00:19:35.085 --> 00:19:37.125

Secondly, I want to talk about the inappropriate

415

00:19:37.135 --> 00:19:38.165

scale and location.

416

00:19:38.545 --> 00:19:42.885

The development covers approximately 1200 acres, nine sites,

417

00:19:42.905 --> 00:19:45.445

and 31 kilometers making it one

418

00:19:45.445 --> 00:19:48.045

of the largest solar projects proposed in the uk.

419

00:19:48.985 --> 00:19:51.565

The scale of the development is comparable to the footprint

420

00:19:51.565 --> 00:19:54.405

of Heathrow Airport highlighting the magnitude

421

00:19:54.405 --> 00:19:57.405

of the proposed scheme, the dispersed nature

422

00:19:57.425 --> 00:20:00.485

of the scheme spread across multiple solar sites

423

00:20:01.285 --> 00:20:04.965

surrounding numerous villages risk, creating the perception

424

00:20:04.965 --> 00:20:06.565

that communities are encircled

425

00:20:06.565 --> 00:20:09.285

by industrial scale energy infrastructure.

426

00:20:10.075 --> 00:20:12.845

This is a real concern to the concern

427

00:20:12.905 --> 00:20:15.925

to the residents in Wal grave, old Meres Ashby

428

00:20:15.925 --> 00:20:17.885

and Earls Barton to name just a few.

429

00:20:18.635 --> 00:20:21.685

They feel that they will be transformed from an idyllic

430

00:20:21.685 --> 00:20:25.485

rural landscape to an overbearing industrial one.

431

00:20:26.785 --> 00:20:30.365

The proposal therefore raises significant concerns

432

00:20:30.395 --> 00:20:31.525

regarding compliance

433

00:20:31.595 --> 00:20:35.085

with the design principles contained in the national policy

434

00:20:35.085 --> 00:20:36.925

statement for energy EN one,

435

00:20:37.375 --> 00:20:39.685

which requires infrastructure projects

436

00:20:39.685 --> 00:20:41.525

to minimize environmental impacts

437

00:20:41.675 --> 00:20:45.045

through appropriate site selection, layout and scale.

438

00:20:45.945 --> 00:20:47.045

In particular, the scale

439

00:20:47.145 --> 00:20:49.285

of the development appears disproportionate

440

00:20:49.425 --> 00:20:52.085

to the rural landscape in which it sits.

441

00:20:52.865 --> 00:20:55.925

The distribution of sites risks, creating coalescence

442

00:20:55.925 --> 00:20:58.485

between settlements, reducing the visual

443

00:20:58.585 --> 00:21:00.765

and spatial separation between villages

444

00:21:01.385 --> 00:21:03.125

and also the landscape character

445

00:21:03.125 --> 00:21:07.085

of the northamptonshire countryside defined by open farmland

446

00:21:07.085 --> 00:21:09.525

and historic settlement patterns would be

447

00:21:09.525 --> 00:21:10.845

fundamentally altered.

448

00:21:11.575 --> 00:21:14.085

While national policy recognizes the importance

449

00:21:14.085 --> 00:21:17.645

of renewable energy infrastructure, it also requires

450

00:21:17.645 --> 00:21:19.645

that developments be sensitively located

451

00:21:20.025 --> 00:21:22.285

and proportionate to the surroundings,

452

00:21:22.735 --> 00:21:25.725

which is this proposal does not appear to achieve.

453

00:21:26.875 --> 00:21:28.845

They want to move on to alternative sites

454

00:21:28.845 --> 00:21:31.565

because many residents support the expansion

455

00:21:31.625 --> 00:21:32.925

of renewable energy,

456

00:21:33.545 --> 00:21:36.765

but believe that alternative locations should be prioritized

457

00:21:36.765 --> 00:21:39.365

before developing productive agricultural land.

458

00:21:40.485 --> 00:21:42.605

National planning policy encourages this.

459

00:21:43.255 --> 00:21:45.005

There is a strong public support

460

00:21:45.105 --> 00:21:47.645

for expanding solar deployment on warehouses

461

00:21:47.645 --> 00:21:50.765

and industrial rooftops, supermarket car parks

462

00:21:50.765 --> 00:21:51.805

and brownfield land.

463

00:21:52.385 --> 00:21:53.725

And whilst it is recognized

464

00:21:53.725 --> 00:21:56.005

that not all buildings have suitable orientation

465

00:21:56.785 --> 00:21:59.565

in the rapid growth of large logistic

466

00:21:59.705 --> 00:22:02.405

and warehouse developments across northamptonshire

467

00:22:02.545 --> 00:22:06.445

and my constituency presents significant opportunities

468

00:22:06.785 --> 00:22:08.885

for rooftop solar generation

469

00:22:09.615 --> 00:22:12.685

sites are literally being prepared as we speak today.

470

00:22:13.545 --> 00:22:16.445

The application document appears to provide limited evidence

471

00:22:16.445 --> 00:22:20.165

of a detailed sequential site assessment demonstrating

472

00:22:20.285 --> 00:22:22.765

that brownfield sites rooftop installations

473

00:22:22.785 --> 00:22:26.325

and lower grade agricultural land were thoroughly evaluated

474

00:22:26.325 --> 00:22:30.085

before selecting large areas of BMV farmland.

475

00:22:30.635 --> 00:22:34.405

Without a transparent comparison of these alternatives,

476

00:22:34.405 --> 00:22:37.965

it is difficult to conclude that the current proposal

477

00:22:38.645 --> 00:22:39.925

represents the most sustainable

478

00:22:40.145 --> 00:22:42.925

or policy compliant location for solar development.

479

00:22:44.345 --> 00:22:45.805

Moving on to environmental

480

00:22:45.905 --> 00:22:47.005

and heritage impacts,

481

00:22:47.145 --> 00:22:49.245

the proposed development raises concerns

482

00:22:49.245 --> 00:22:53.245

regarding landscape character, heritage assets, biodiversity

483

00:22:53.625 --> 00:22:55.125

and environmental risk.

484

00:22:56.105 --> 00:22:58.845

For example, from elevated viewpoints, particularly

485

00:22:58.845 --> 00:23:02.245

around Wal grave and near uh, nearby ridge lines,

486

00:23:02.815 --> 00:23:07.165

solar clusters may be highly visible creating a substantial

487

00:23:07.165 --> 00:23:09.485

change to the visual character of the countryside.

488

00:23:10.105 --> 00:23:13.045

The project also lies within historic landscape containing

489

00:23:13.045 --> 00:23:15.365

listed buildings, conservation areas,

490

00:23:15.885 --> 00:23:18.245

historic field patterns, and archeological remains.

491

00:23:18.985 --> 00:23:21.885

The national planning policy framework requires decision

492

00:23:21.905 --> 00:23:23.125

makers to conserve

493

00:23:23.745 --> 00:23:27.725

and enhance the historic environment, ensuring

494

00:23:27.725 --> 00:23:30.325

that the developments do not cause unacceptable harm

495

00:23:30.665 --> 00:23:33.125

to heritage assets or their settings.

496

00:23:34.605 --> 00:23:37.365

Specific concerns have also been raised with me

497

00:23:37.505 --> 00:23:39.845

by residents over the World War II

498

00:23:40.445 --> 00:23:42.285

aircraft crash site near me as Ashby,

499

00:23:42.615 --> 00:23:45.165

which may fall under the protections of the protection

500

00:23:45.440 --> 00:23:47.525
of the military remains Act 1986.

501

00:23:48.305 --> 00:23:51.005
The possibility that the site may contain ordinance

502

00:23:51.005 --> 00:23:54.925
or human remains warranting careful arche archeological

503

00:23:55.925 --> 00:23:57.525
investigation and preservation.

504

00:23:58.315 --> 00:24:00.845
Environmental impacts are also associated

505

00:24:00.845 --> 00:24:02.085
with hedge row removal

506

00:24:02.385 --> 00:24:07.085
and clearance during construction, potential disturbance

507

00:24:07.105 --> 00:24:08.525
to wildlife habitats

508

00:24:08.525 --> 00:24:11.645
and long-term fragmentation of ecological corridors.

509

00:24:12.545 --> 00:24:15.045
In addition, the loss of jobs such as farming

510

00:24:15.145 --> 00:24:17.125
and livery threatens to change the way

511

00:24:17.125 --> 00:24:20.725
of life in this unique area forever taken together,

512

00:24:20.935 --> 00:24:23.365
these issues suggest the proposal could lead

513

00:24:23.365 --> 00:24:26.005

to significant environment, environmental

514

00:24:26.185 --> 00:24:29.605

and heritage impacts that have not been fully resolved.

515

00:24:30.545 --> 00:24:33.685

Moving on to the lifespan, as I said earlier, the project

516

00:24:34.205 --> 00:24:37.205

proposal has a lifespan of approximately 60 years,

517

00:24:37.815 --> 00:24:41.565

which is significantly longer than the lifespan typically

518

00:24:41.565 --> 00:24:43.645

associated with solar panel technology,

519

00:24:44.655 --> 00:24:48.485

which usually has a lifespan of 25 to 35 years, meaning

520

00:24:48.485 --> 00:24:50.085

that significant replacement

521

00:24:50.105 --> 00:24:51.965

or refurbishment could be required

522

00:24:52.265 --> 00:24:55.485

during the project's lifetime, which raises questions

523

00:24:55.485 --> 00:24:57.925

regarding the long term environmental impact

524

00:24:57.925 --> 00:24:59.085

of panel replacement

525

00:24:59.165 --> 00:25:02.525

and disposal, the potential increase in waste materials

526

00:25:02.525 --> 00:25:04.445

and resource consumption,

527

00:25:05.025 --> 00:25:08.445

and whether the project's overall lifecycle emissions remain

528

00:25:08.445 --> 00:25:11.085

consistent with its stated environmental objectives.

529

00:25:11.855 --> 00:25:14.205

There is also concern that if the project were

530

00:25:14.205 --> 00:25:16.445

to become economically viable in the future,

531

00:25:17.265 --> 00:25:20.445

it could be abandoned without full restoration of the land.

532

00:25:21.105 --> 00:25:23.365

For this reason, many residents believe

533

00:25:23.365 --> 00:25:26.565

that a financial decommissioning bond should be required

534

00:25:26.565 --> 00:25:29.645

from the developer to ensure that funds are available

535

00:25:29.745 --> 00:25:30.765

to restore the land

536

00:25:30.765 --> 00:25:33.005

to agricultural use once the project ends.

537

00:25:33.475 --> 00:25:36.325

Without such safeguards, there is concern

538

00:25:36.445 --> 00:25:39.845

that the land could become permanently degraded,

539

00:25:39.975 --> 00:25:42.805

eventually being reclassified as brownfield land

540

00:25:42.945 --> 00:25:47.685

and potentially redeveloped for housing on construction.

541

00:25:48.025 --> 00:25:50.885

The phase, the construction phase of the project expected

542

00:25:50.905 --> 00:25:53.605

to involve large volumes of heavy goods vehicles,

543

00:25:54.965 --> 00:25:58.805

transporting solar panels, mounting structures, cables,

544

00:25:58.805 --> 00:26:01.605

and other equipment to multiple dispersed sites.

545

00:26:02.395 --> 00:26:05.485

Many of the surrounding roads are narrow rural lanes

546

00:26:05.805 --> 00:26:08.325

designed primarily for agricultural vehicles rather than

547

00:26:08.325 --> 00:26:09.645

sustained HGV traffic,

548

00:26:10.455 --> 00:26:13.645

which brings about potential impacts including increased

549

00:26:13.675 --> 00:26:16.485

road safety risks for pedestrian cyclists

550

00:26:16.485 --> 00:26:20.285

and horse riders, noise pollution affecting nearby

551

00:26:20.485 --> 00:26:23.165

residents, damage to the local road infrastructure,

552

00:26:23.385 --> 00:26:25.165

not designed for heavy construction

553

00:26:25.665 --> 00:26:27.205

and disruption to daily life.

554

00:26:28.155 --> 00:26:29.765

With construction, anticipate

555

00:26:29.765 --> 00:26:31.565

to take years rather than months.

556

00:26:32.185 --> 00:26:34.325

The impact of this needs to be considered

557

00:26:35.625 --> 00:26:37.045

and then onto the disruption

558

00:26:37.065 --> 00:26:38.885

for local access and recreation.

559

00:26:39.345 --> 00:26:42.365

The countryside surrounding the proposed development is

560

00:26:42.465 --> 00:26:44.765

widely used for walking, cycling

561

00:26:44.765 --> 00:26:47.205

and horse riding, forming an important part

562

00:26:47.205 --> 00:26:49.645

of the area's recreational cultural identity.

563

00:26:50.515 --> 00:26:53.445

Several well-used routes are located wind or

564

00:26:53.785 --> 00:26:55.725

or adjacent to the proposed sites.

565

00:26:56.765 --> 00:26:59.125

Construction activities increased traffic in the present

566

00:26:59.765 --> 00:27:02.685

presence of extensive solar infrastructure risk,

567

00:27:03.045 --> 00:27:05.085

reducing the icy value of these routes,

568

00:27:05.735 --> 00:27:08.685

disrupting established recreational patterns,

569

00:27:09.245 --> 00:27:11.885

altering the rural experience that residents

570

00:27:11.885 --> 00:27:13.445

and visitors currently enjoy.

571

00:27:14.405 --> 00:27:16.805

Although permissive paths are sometimes offered

572

00:27:16.825 --> 00:27:21.285

as mitigation, these do not carry the same legal protections

573

00:27:21.345 --> 00:27:25.045

as formal rights of way and can be withdrawn in the future.

574

00:27:25.915 --> 00:27:29.045

Many residents therefore feel that the social

575

00:27:29.225 --> 00:27:31.685

and community value of these landscapes has not

576

00:27:31.685 --> 00:27:33.165

been adequately considered.

577

00:27:33.505 --> 00:27:36.445

So in conclusion, while there is broad recognition

578

00:27:36.445 --> 00:27:38.525

of the importance of renewable energy

579

00:27:38.945 --> 00:27:42.285

and the role of solar panel can play in supporting the UK's

580

00:27:42.285 --> 00:27:46.525

transition to a low carbon energy system, the location,

581

00:27:47.335 --> 00:27:50.885

scale, and land use implications of this particular proposal

582

00:27:51.535 --> 00:27:54.925

raise significant concerns for many local communities.

583

00:27:55.705 --> 00:27:59.885

In particular, the loss of best and most versatile farmland

584

00:28:00.265 --> 00:28:01.765

and the industrial scale

585

00:28:02.065 --> 00:28:06.445

of the development across a very rural landscape suggests

586

00:28:06.445 --> 00:28:09.005

that the project project as proposed

587

00:28:09.675 --> 00:28:12.005

does not represent the most appropriate

588

00:28:12.145 --> 00:28:13.525

or sustainable approach

589

00:28:13.985 --> 00:28:17.725

to delivering renewable energy infrastructure in the uk.

590

00:28:18.215 --> 00:28:19.215

Thank you.

591

00:28:21.415 --> 00:28:23.165

Thank you very much Ms. Thank you.

592

00:28:26.305 --> 00:28:27.685

Um, and now we have Al

593

00:28:35.575 --> 00:28:36.045

thank you.

594

00:28:36.295 --> 00:28:39.125

Thank you very much. Uh, so my name is Sarah Bull

595

00:28:39.125 --> 00:28:41.685

and I'm the member of Parliament for South Northamptonshire.

596

00:28:42.605 --> 00:28:45.045

I just start by saying I do think there's a slight irony

597

00:28:45.045 --> 00:28:48.085

here that a scheme that is designed to bring vast quantities

598

00:28:48.085 --> 00:28:50.445

of power to an area simultaneously takes away the

599

00:28:50.685 --> 00:28:53.325

decisionmaking power from locals in being an nip.

600

00:28:53.785 --> 00:28:56.365

But given that background it come becomes very important

601

00:28:56.365 --> 00:28:57.685

that the terms that the Secretary

602

00:28:57.685 --> 00:28:59.645

of State operates in are understood.

603

00:29:00.695 --> 00:29:04.765

Under section 104 of the Planning Act 2008, the Secretary

604

00:29:04.765 --> 00:29:07.285

of State must decide the application in accordance

605

00:29:07.285 --> 00:29:10.125

with the national policy statement that has effect here,

606

00:29:10.545 --> 00:29:12.845

namely EN one and EN three.

607

00:29:13.665 --> 00:29:15.285

Now these set out the policy tests

608

00:29:15.345 --> 00:29:19.005

for citing design mitigation, cumulative effects, land use,

609

00:29:19.025 --> 00:29:22.405

and the acceptability, um, of impacts in particular places

610

00:29:23.725 --> 00:29:25.725

critically under section paragraph seven,

611

00:29:25.815 --> 00:29:29.045

under section 1 0 4 of the Planning Act, the Secretary

612

00:29:29.045 --> 00:29:31.765

of State may decide that the adverse impact

613

00:29:31.785 --> 00:29:34.485

of the proposed development would outweigh its benefits.

614

00:29:35.185 --> 00:29:38.005

So the question for this examination is not whether solar is

615

00:29:38.005 --> 00:29:40.245

needed because national policy makes that clear.

616

00:29:40.745 --> 00:29:43.925

The question is whether this particular scheme meets the

617

00:29:43.925 --> 00:29:46.245

expectations in the national policy statements

618

00:29:46.585 --> 00:29:48.165

and whether the adverse impact

619

00:29:48.165 --> 00:29:50.805

of the proposed development would outweigh its benefits.

620

00:29:51.465 --> 00:29:53.605

So I'm going to speak about what this means on the ground

621

00:29:53.865 --> 00:29:55.125

for communities in South North

622

00:29:55.125 --> 00:29:56.405
Hamptonshire that I represent.

623

00:29:56.835 --> 00:29:58.005
I'll address six issues

624

00:29:58.105 --> 00:29:59.525
and I will show how each

625

00:29:59.525 --> 00:30:02.325
of these either contradicts national policy statements

626

00:30:02.585 --> 00:30:04.365
or contributes to the overall picture

627

00:30:04.595 --> 00:30:06.525
that the adverse impacts are severe

628

00:30:06.585 --> 00:30:08.485
and outweigh the benefit of this proposal.

629

00:30:09.425 --> 00:30:11.925
So the first area is the scheme's fragmentation

630

00:30:11.985 --> 00:30:13.165
and the spread of harm.

631

00:30:13.865 --> 00:30:17.005
Now this scheme is not just large, it is unusually

632

00:30:17.685 --> 00:30:19.365
fragmented as you're aware.

633

00:30:19.625 --> 00:30:22.765
It comprises nine separate sites linked by

634

00:30:22.765 --> 00:30:26.405
around 31 kilometers of cabling, a proposal the size

635

00:30:26.405 --> 00:30:29.365

of Heathrow airport but spread over 16 miles.

636

00:30:30.025 --> 00:30:32.365

Now that is not a neutral design feature.

637

00:30:33.445 --> 00:30:37.085

EN one section 4 3 15 is explicit

638

00:30:37.315 --> 00:30:40.285

that applicants must include information about reasonable

639

00:30:40.285 --> 00:30:41.965

alternatives that they have studied,

640

00:30:42.315 --> 00:30:45.445

including the main reasons for the choice taking account

641

00:30:45.445 --> 00:30:48.125

of environmental, social and economic effects.

642

00:30:49.145 --> 00:30:50.885

So I want to put a simple question on the record.

643

00:30:51.785 --> 00:30:55.245

Is the evidence supplied to date by the applicant sufficient

644

00:30:55.505 --> 00:30:57.805

to justify this level of fragmentation

645

00:30:57.875 --> 00:30:59.125

that it is unavoidable

646

00:30:59.425 --> 00:31:02.765

and rather than simply uh, convenient for land assembly

647

00:31:03.345 --> 00:31:06.205

and ensure that it does not drive a materially wider

648

00:31:06.345 --> 00:31:07.405

and more severe spread

649

00:31:07.405 --> 00:31:09.645

of harm than a more condensed layout would've done?

650

00:31:10.835 --> 00:31:13.885

This application structure makes it very easy for the harm

651

00:31:13.885 --> 00:31:15.125

to be assessed piecemeal,

652

00:31:15.545 --> 00:31:19.925

but the national policy statement EN one tells you not to do

653

00:31:19.925 --> 00:31:21.885

that and to take this in the round.

654

00:31:22.675 --> 00:31:26.885

Furthermore, EN 1 4 7 2 requires a proposal

655

00:31:26.905 --> 00:31:28.205

to be efficient in the use

656

00:31:28.205 --> 00:31:30.685

of natural resources including land use.

657

00:31:31.665 --> 00:31:33.965

How is it reasonable for a scheme that is

658

00:31:33.965 --> 00:31:38.285

so inefficiently spread over such a large area to claim

659

00:31:38.285 --> 00:31:39.925

to be efficient in its land use?

660

00:31:41.385 --> 00:31:43.725

Now the second area is on landscape visual immunity

661

00:31:43.825 --> 00:31:45.845

and the encirclement of Eastern morde.

662

00:31:46.495 --> 00:31:50.925

Under this proposal over 750 acres around Eastern

663

00:31:51.635 --> 00:31:54.645

boit and grendon would be taken up by solar panels

664

00:31:54.645 --> 00:31:57.245

and battery storage infrastructure under sites F

665

00:31:57.425 --> 00:32:00.525

and the BES Eastern mor would be surrounded

666

00:32:00.525 --> 00:32:04.085

by panels on three sides leaving only one

667

00:32:04.085 --> 00:32:05.485

remaining open approach.

668

00:32:06.355 --> 00:32:09.245

This goes far beyond the standard expectation

669

00:32:09.365 --> 00:32:10.845

of views of infrastructure.

670

00:32:11.345 --> 00:32:13.805

It a case, it is a case of spatial enclosure

671

00:32:14.065 --> 00:32:18.605

of a historic village Ian one requires an applicant

672

00:32:18.605 --> 00:32:19.885

to submit a landscape

673

00:32:19.885 --> 00:32:22.885

and visual impact assessment which the applicant has done,

674

00:32:23.145 --> 00:32:26.085

but I do question its analysis for example,

675

00:32:26.515 --> 00:32:28.725

outlining the impact of Site F.

676

00:32:29.465 --> 00:32:32.005

It proudly states that there are no listed buildings on the

677

00:32:32.005 --> 00:32:34.805

site but of course not it's an agricultural field

678

00:32:35.185 --> 00:32:37.285

but there are four grade one listed

679

00:32:37.465 --> 00:32:40.405

and 91 grade two listed buildings nearby.

680

00:32:41.475 --> 00:32:44.965

Even with a triples I it says there's no triples I on this

681

00:32:44.965 --> 00:32:48.645

site, but there is one it says located approximately 70

682

00:32:48.785 --> 00:32:51.205

meters east of the eastern site boundary.

683

00:32:52.145 --> 00:32:53.205

If I were use same bolt

684

00:32:53.215 --> 00:32:55.485

where there's a hundred meter record, I could easily reach

685

00:32:55.485 --> 00:32:58.405

that triples I in less than 9.58 seconds

686

00:32:59.985 --> 00:33:01.685

to return to my initial point.

687

00:33:02.115 --> 00:33:03.645

With this design as it stands,

688

00:33:04.145 --> 00:33:06.125

can you realistically avoid the outcome

689

00:33:06.125 --> 00:33:07.965

that eastern mortar becomes functionally

690

00:33:07.965 --> 00:33:10.525

and visually enclosed by energy infrastructure for decades?

691

00:33:11.305 --> 00:33:12.685

The answer is no.

692

00:33:13.425 --> 00:33:16.925

And on heritage Eastern mortar as I've just noted,

693

00:33:16.925 --> 00:33:18.965

contains a grade one listed church

694

00:33:19.065 --> 00:33:21.085

and multiple grade two listed buildings

695

00:33:21.085 --> 00:33:22.765

with the development altering the setting

696

00:33:23.145 --> 00:33:24.885

for the full operational period,

697

00:33:26.245 --> 00:33:28.565

EN one sections 5 9 28

698

00:33:28.565 --> 00:33:32.365

and 29 require the Secretary of State to great weight

699

00:33:32.625 --> 00:33:35.085

to a heritage assets conservation

700

00:33:35.465 --> 00:33:38.365

and any harm to its significance should require clear

701

00:33:38.505 --> 00:33:40.205

and convincing justification.

702

00:33:41.465 --> 00:33:43.005

Has this test been met?

703

00:33:43.185 --> 00:33:44.205

If East and Mortared

704

00:33:44.205 --> 00:33:46.645

and its listed buildings are surrounded for a minimum

705

00:33:46.745 --> 00:33:49.765

of 60 years, it does not appear.

706

00:33:49.825 --> 00:33:52.925

So the third area best

707

00:33:52.945 --> 00:33:54.285

and most versatile land

708

00:33:55.725 --> 00:33:58.605

EN one sections 5, 11, 12 and 34.

709

00:33:58.945 --> 00:34:01.125

Not only state that applicants should seek

710

00:34:01.145 --> 00:34:03.525

to minimize impacts on BVM land

711

00:34:03.625 --> 00:34:05.645

and preferably use poorer quality land,

712

00:34:06.065 --> 00:34:07.525

but also that the Secretary

713

00:34:07.525 --> 00:34:11.085

of State should ensure applicants do not cite their scheme

714

00:34:11.145 --> 00:34:13.725

on BVM land without justification.

715

00:34:14.885 --> 00:34:18.045

EN three section 2 10 21 goes further

716

00:34:18.425 --> 00:34:20.205
and for solar schemes it says

717

00:34:20.215 --> 00:34:24.325
where possible it should utilize suitably uh, suitable,

718

00:34:24.845 --> 00:34:27.205
previously developed brownfield contaminated

719

00:34:27.205 --> 00:34:30.885
or industrial land Where agricultural land is necessary,

720

00:34:31.425 --> 00:34:33.605
poorer quality land should be preferred

721

00:34:34.245 --> 00:34:35.965
avoiding BMV where possible.

722

00:34:36.945 --> 00:34:40.485
Now this project covers approximately 1,200 hectares

723

00:34:40.485 --> 00:34:42.365
of predominantly agricultural land.

724

00:34:43.065 --> 00:34:48.045
The total BMV land affected is 854.5 hectares

725

00:34:48.225 --> 00:34:51.045
around 65% of the scheme.

726

00:34:51.585 --> 00:34:54.325
And some individual sites have exceptionally high

727

00:34:54.565 --> 00:34:59.005
proportions of BMV including site D at 20.92%

728

00:34:59.545 --> 00:35:02.325
at, sorry, 90.2% BMV.

729

00:35:03.345 --> 00:35:04.405

Now I have three stresses

730

00:35:04.405 --> 00:35:07.125

that the applicant must demonstrate the policy expectation

731

00:35:07.305 --> 00:35:10.805

of minimization and preference for poorer quality land

732

00:35:11.105 --> 00:35:13.645

and whether the justification meets the standard implied

733

00:35:13.705 --> 00:35:16.285

by EN one a scheme

734

00:35:16.355 --> 00:35:20.765

that is 65% BMV is not starting from a position

735

00:35:20.765 --> 00:35:23.365

of minimization, it's starting from a position

736

00:35:23.365 --> 00:35:26.285

that contradicts these national policy statements.

737

00:35:27.955 --> 00:35:30.415

The fourth area duration repowering

738

00:35:30.435 --> 00:35:34.855

and the absence of hard decommissioning security EN three,

739

00:35:34.965 --> 00:35:39.325

section two ten a hundred and forty to 142 states.

740

00:35:39.425 --> 00:35:43.285

An upper limit of 40 years is typical and that is the time

741

00:35:43.505 --> 00:35:45.725

and that the time limited nature is likely

742

00:35:45.745 --> 00:35:47.925

to be an important consideration for the Secretary

743
00:35:47.925 --> 00:35:50.205
of state including a DCA requirement

744
00:35:50.205 --> 00:35:53.005
to secure decommissioning after the permitted operation.

745
00:35:54.225 --> 00:35:58.165
The critical question therefore is if 40 years is typical

746
00:35:58.465 --> 00:36:01.325
and time limited consent is an important consideration,

747
00:36:01.995 --> 00:36:05.005
what is the justified basis for 60 years here

748
00:36:05.425 --> 00:36:09.325
and what precisely is secured not promised to ensure that

749
00:36:09.325 --> 00:36:12.405
after the period of land that period the land is restored

750
00:36:12.585 --> 00:36:14.685
and the infrastructure is removed.

751
00:36:15.585 --> 00:36:18.085
On this point, ownership change is possible.

752
00:36:18.225 --> 00:36:21.005
Indeed, island Green Power's own ownership has changed

753
00:36:21.265 --> 00:36:23.885
to the Macquarie group and there seems to be no

754
00:36:24.405 --> 00:36:26.685
guaranteed mechanism to secure decommissioning funding

755
00:36:27.125 --> 00:36:28.165
regardless of ownership.

756
00:36:29.525 --> 00:36:33.845

EN three two ten one three eight requires the Secretary

757

00:36:33.845 --> 00:36:37.325

of State to ensure outline decommissioning plans are put

758

00:36:37.325 --> 00:36:39.645

forward and that the land is restored to a suitable use.

759

00:36:40.705 --> 00:36:42.005

Are we really satisfied

760

00:36:42.005 --> 00:36:43.645

that there is an enforceable mechanism

761

00:36:43.645 --> 00:36:46.525

that makes decommissioning credible over 60 years?

762

00:36:48.655 --> 00:36:50.715

My fifth area is the BES near Grham.

763

00:36:52.035 --> 00:36:55.515

A 500 megawatt BES is proposed by this scheme

764

00:36:56.265 --> 00:36:58.755

with the other BES installations either operational

765

00:36:58.895 --> 00:37:00.355

or consented locally.

766

00:37:00.505 --> 00:37:03.435

This amounts to nearly 600 megawatts

767

00:37:03.715 --> 00:37:04.835

concentrated near grham.

768

00:37:05.575 --> 00:37:08.035

The question today is whether this scale and consult

769

00:37:08.295 --> 00:37:11.315

and concentration is acceptable in this location.

770

00:37:12.695 --> 00:37:15.235

The risks posed by BES will have been previously detailed,

771

00:37:15.295 --> 00:37:17.355

but I note that the government itself seems

772

00:37:17.355 --> 00:37:19.875

to be recognizing these in a letter

773

00:37:19.895 --> 00:37:22.835

to me on the 24th of November, 2025,

774

00:37:23.435 --> 00:37:25.475

minister Michael Shanks outlined that the government is

775

00:37:25.995 --> 00:37:29.155

considering integrating BES into the existing environmental

776

00:37:29.165 --> 00:37:32.355

permitting regulations framework in order to deal

777

00:37:32.385 --> 00:37:33.595

with safety concerns.

778

00:37:34.695 --> 00:37:36.115

So if the existing framework

779

00:37:36.115 --> 00:37:39.915

of BES regulations are insufficient, I find it hard to see

780

00:37:39.915 --> 00:37:42.635

how this existing proposal can be safe for residents.

781

00:37:43.245 --> 00:37:46.075

There is also well-documented flood risk history

782

00:37:46.175 --> 00:37:49.515

and obvious proximity to sensitive receptors and waterways.

783

00:37:50.215 --> 00:37:53.475

We know this part of the site is located next to a Triple SI

784

00:37:54.135 --> 00:37:56.835

an area protected by law to avoid harm

785

00:37:57.175 --> 00:37:59.355

and yet this development may be permitted,

786

00:37:59.355 --> 00:38:03.835

which cuts straight across that EN one also requires

787

00:38:03.835 --> 00:38:06.675

that residual flood risk be managed including the presence

788

00:38:06.675 --> 00:38:08.795

of flood warning and evacuation planning.

789

00:38:10.055 --> 00:38:11.355

Are we really satisfied

790

00:38:11.465 --> 00:38:13.755

that these have been addressed correctly?

791

00:38:14.545 --> 00:38:19.075

Furthermore, the greenhill BES will be located on 43.27

792

00:38:19.145 --> 00:38:21.155

hectare site to put that into context.

793

00:38:21.225 --> 00:38:24.235

That is a size of around 60 football pitches

794

00:38:24.575 --> 00:38:28.235

and which as the applicant admits is on agricultural land

795

00:38:28.465 --> 00:38:31.475

over 50% of which is BMV.

796

00:38:31.985 --> 00:38:33.515

This is just wrong.

797

00:38:34.815 --> 00:38:37.355

And finally the sixth area is the cumulative impacts.

798

00:38:38.315 --> 00:38:39.875

EN one requires decision makers

799

00:38:39.875 --> 00:38:41.355

to consider the cumulative effects

800

00:38:41.375 --> 00:38:43.475

of a scheme on the environment, economy

801

00:38:43.695 --> 00:38:47.635

or community as a whole, even if acceptable individually.

802

00:38:48.415 --> 00:38:51.835

For my constituents the cumulative effect is not theoretical

803

00:38:52.235 --> 00:38:55.955

planning concept, it is the lived reality of a development

804

00:38:56.135 --> 00:38:58.315

for all the reasons cited before.

805

00:38:59.455 --> 00:39:01.235

So I will close with the core questions

806

00:39:01.235 --> 00:39:03.355

that your recommendation must confront.

807

00:39:04.245 --> 00:39:06.035

Again, firstly, alternatives

808

00:39:06.135 --> 00:39:09.395

and design Has the applicant complied sufficiently

809

00:39:09.395 --> 00:39:10.955

with EM one's requirements

810

00:39:10.955 --> 00:39:13.955

to provide information about reasonable alternatives

811

00:39:14.135 --> 00:39:16.355

and justify the chosen configuration.

812

00:39:16.815 --> 00:39:18.195

And can you be satisfied?

813

00:39:18.415 --> 00:39:21.675

The unusually fragmented layout does not unnecessarily

814

00:39:22.035 --> 00:39:23.755

multiply harm across communities.

815

00:39:24.695 --> 00:39:25.975

Secondly, on eastern mortar

816

00:39:26.035 --> 00:39:29.935

and heritage has harm to the setting of heritage assets

817

00:39:30.355 --> 00:39:33.255

and the settlements character genuinely being minimized

818

00:39:33.255 --> 00:39:34.815

through citing and design.

819

00:39:35.035 --> 00:39:37.575

And if not, what is the clear

820

00:39:37.635 --> 00:39:40.655

and convincing justification EN one requires

821

00:39:40.875 --> 00:39:44.375

for harm within the setting of designated assets assets?

822

00:39:45.585 --> 00:39:46.875

Thirdly, BMV Land.

823

00:39:47.655 --> 00:39:50.795

How does a scheme that is 65%

824

00:39:51.435 --> 00:39:53.275

BMV meet EN one

825

00:39:53.295 --> 00:39:57.035

and EN three expectation to minimize BMV impacts

826

00:39:57.335 --> 00:39:59.275

and prefer poorer quality land

827

00:39:59.735 --> 00:40:03.635

and is the justification EN one anticipates when BMV is used

828

00:40:04.235 --> 00:40:07.065

satisfactorily for lifetime

829

00:40:07.165 --> 00:40:11.945

and decommissioning given EN three requirements is 60 years,

830

00:40:12.155 --> 00:40:16.305

sorry, given EN three's requirements is 60 years justifiable

831

00:40:16.725 --> 00:40:19.145

and what enforcement mechanism makes decommissioning

832

00:40:19.145 --> 00:40:20.505

incredible over the period?

833

00:40:21.165 --> 00:40:22.345

And finally then the bes.

834

00:40:22.765 --> 00:40:25.505

Can you be satisfied of the safety of the scheme

835

00:40:25.725 --> 00:40:28.705

and mitigation measures required by EN one?

836

00:40:29.865 --> 00:40:31.425

I think you'll see that this proposal

837

00:40:31.685 --> 00:40:34.665

and reasonably contradicts the national policy statements

838

00:40:34.665 --> 00:40:37.505

that govern it and at the very least it is clear

839

00:40:37.655 --> 00:40:39.425

that the section 104 test

840

00:40:39.445 --> 00:40:42.985

of adverse impact outweighing benefit is triggered.

841

00:40:43.875 --> 00:40:44.875

Thank you.

842

00:40:52.625 --> 00:40:54.095

Thank you very much. Um,

843

00:40:54.275 --> 00:40:56.815

and now we've got Trevor Higgs Grendon Walking Group.

844

00:41:06.485 --> 00:41:07.435

Thank you very much.

845

00:41:07.525 --> 00:41:10.435

Thank you. Uh, my name is Trevor Higgs.

846

00:41:10.795 --> 00:41:13.075

I am the footpath warden for Grendon

847

00:41:14.095 --> 00:41:17.955

and I wish to make it clear that I'm not anti-solar panels.

848

00:41:18.715 --> 00:41:19.955

I believe they have their place

849

00:41:20.535 --> 00:41:24.435

and balanced in a balanced carbon three

850

00:41:25.675 --> 00:41:27.715

electricity generation scheme.

851

00:41:28.945 --> 00:41:30.315

I've been footpath warden

852

00:41:30.315 --> 00:41:32.475

for close on 40 years over this time.

853

00:41:36.015 --> 00:41:40.565

Thank you. I have helped to promote the use

854

00:41:40.585 --> 00:41:42.925

of public rights of way in

855

00:41:42.925 --> 00:41:45.685

and around gring, ensuring that they're maintained

856

00:41:45.865 --> 00:41:49.485

and are well marked and they are used regularly promoted

857

00:41:49.555 --> 00:41:52.245

through articles in the local parish magazine

858

00:41:52.825 --> 00:41:55.245

and via the Grendon Walking group website.

859

00:41:56.225 --> 00:41:59.525

The landscape around our village can maybe be disguised

860

00:41:59.525 --> 00:42:03.085

as open skies countryside with a rolling landscape,

861

00:42:03.585 --> 00:42:06.965

giving open views on an aura of freedom and space.

862

00:42:08.795 --> 00:42:10.525

Over the years I've seen

863

00:42:10.785 --> 00:42:13.885

and been involved in many changes to the public rights

864

00:42:13.885 --> 00:42:16.085

of way in and around Gron

865

00:42:16.085 --> 00:42:19.845

and if most not all have been sympathetic with the landscape

866

00:42:20.425 --> 00:42:23.605

and have little or no impact on the walking environment.

867

00:42:25.065 --> 00:42:29.085

The poster parish path warden gives me a U unique position

868

00:42:29.185 --> 00:42:31.325

to understand and comment on.

869

00:42:31.325 --> 00:42:33.485

The impact of the proposed solar farm

870

00:42:34.275 --> 00:42:36.005

will have on both the landscape

871

00:42:36.145 --> 00:42:39.445

and the wellbeing of many people who claim pressure

872

00:42:39.825 --> 00:42:42.525

and respite from the pressures of modern day living

873

00:42:42.985 --> 00:42:47.365

by just walking in our beautiful open countryside.

874

00:42:49.425 --> 00:42:50.765

I'm also a walk leader

875

00:42:51.385 --> 00:42:54.005

and organizer of the Grendon Walking group,

876

00:42:54.375 --> 00:42:57.405

which has been operating on and off for those 40 years.

877

00:42:57.995 --> 00:42:59.245

Subject to demand

878

00:42:59.865 --> 00:43:02.325

and the numbers of walk-ins who wish to walk.

879

00:43:02.635 --> 00:43:05.405

Currently it's in rude health with up

880

00:43:05.485 --> 00:43:10.385

to 20 walkers on each walk history.

881

00:43:11.295 --> 00:43:13.425

Many of the local routes are designated

882

00:43:13.565 --> 00:43:16.705

as into village paths with significant traffic

883

00:43:16.735 --> 00:43:17.905

between the villages.

884

00:43:18.565 --> 00:43:21.145

In the past, these routes would've had daily traffic.

885

00:43:21.925 --> 00:43:24.105

It is lived in living memory

886

00:43:24.255 --> 00:43:27.705

that workers from Grendon would walk to both Williston

887

00:43:27.765 --> 00:43:30.585

and Boje, which had shoe and boot factories.

888

00:43:31.525 --> 00:43:34.465

The villages of Grendon would walk to work ready

889

00:43:34.525 --> 00:43:36.865

for today's work and return in the evening.

890

00:43:37.765 --> 00:43:40.265

Almost certainly the paths would've had this type

891

00:43:40.265 --> 00:43:41.945

of use over centuries

892

00:43:42.575 --> 00:43:45.745

when the rural population was much larger than now

893

00:43:47.285 --> 00:43:51.105

And In the general population would only be able

894

00:43:51.105 --> 00:43:54.505

to walk to local villages for both work and social activity.

895

00:43:55.575 --> 00:43:57.985

This is not to say that change would've not have happened

896

00:43:57.985 --> 00:44:01.905

during this period, but it would've almost certainly been in

897

00:44:02.185 --> 00:44:03.385

sympathy with the landscape.

898

00:44:03.775 --> 00:44:07.385

Whereas this proposal will be dramatic and turn

899

00:44:07.925 --> 00:44:12.665

and turn a rural pastoral landscape ensuring an industrial

900

00:44:12.995 --> 00:44:16.945

scene can com and completely ruin these roots immediately.

901

00:44:16.965 --> 00:44:21.785

And historic value, It is a well proven fact

902

00:44:21.785 --> 00:44:24.985

that walking particularly in groups provides the basis

903

00:44:25.285 --> 00:44:28.905

for improved wellbeing, mental health and physical fitness.

904

00:44:29.925 --> 00:44:31.445

The open skies aspects

905

00:44:31.465 --> 00:44:34.445

of the roots provide the perfect backdrop for walking

906

00:44:35.035 --> 00:44:38.445

with gentle rolling countryside and easy walking.

907

00:44:39.625 --> 00:44:42.245

It attracts walkers of all ages and abilities.

908

00:44:43.585 --> 00:44:47.805

No amount of mitigation with new hedges, trees, et cetera,

909

00:44:48.035 --> 00:44:49.485

will compensate for the loss

910

00:44:49.605 --> 00:44:51.725

of the current openness of the countryside.

911

00:44:52.305 --> 00:44:55.165

It will just add to the massive industrial change.

912

00:44:55.555 --> 00:44:58.805

This proposal will bring to all aspects, particularly

913

00:44:58.865 --> 00:45:00.405

to the level of amenity.

914

00:45:02.325 --> 00:45:05.545

The Grendon Walker Group is a casual group based in Grendon.

915

00:45:06.085 --> 00:45:08.665

It is sponsored by the Grham Parish Council

916

00:45:09.325 --> 00:45:11.665

to provide regular planned walks in

917

00:45:11.665 --> 00:45:12.985

and around the Grandin area.

918

00:45:13.915 --> 00:45:16.505

These walks are always on public rights of way

919

00:45:17.045 --> 00:45:19.265

and are designed to improve the wellbeing

920

00:45:19.325 --> 00:45:21.105

and the physical fitness as well

921

00:45:21.105 --> 00:45:23.465

as providing social interaction within the group.

922

00:45:24.845 --> 00:45:28.545

The impact of the installation on the solar panels well the

923

00:45:28.545 --> 00:45:31.625

roots will be used by the group will be massive

924

00:45:32.325 --> 00:45:33.345

and with the majority

925

00:45:33.405 --> 00:45:36.545

of the local paths being enclosed in or enclosed.

926

00:45:38.165 --> 00:45:42.305

In summary, it is clear from the Green Hill Solar proposal

927

00:45:42.305 --> 00:45:45.985

will have a catastrophic impact on the public rights of way

928

00:45:46.525 --> 00:45:49.745

within its proposed locations across all the sites.

929

00:45:50.645 --> 00:45:53.225

It is my firmly, my view that no about

930

00:45:53.245 --> 00:45:55.745

of mitigation can compensate for the loss

931

00:45:55.745 --> 00:46:00.145

of the open skies aspect of the roots on a wide open

932

00:46:00.715 --> 00:46:02.505
views across the countryside.

933

00:46:02.925 --> 00:46:05.785
It shows that many locations are likely to be

934

00:46:06.585 --> 00:46:08.705
severely impacted by the installation

935

00:46:09.205 --> 00:46:12.545
and many paths will be completely closed in

936

00:46:12.545 --> 00:46:13.705
on many occasions.

937

00:46:14.525 --> 00:46:16.105
The main question is why,

938

00:46:17.095 --> 00:46:20.215
particularly when the vast majority feels designated

939

00:46:20.215 --> 00:46:24.375
for the panels consistently produce a high quality crop.

940

00:46:25.235 --> 00:46:29.295
And when there are so many alternatives

941

00:46:30.125 --> 00:46:33.015
such as warehouse roofs

942

00:46:33.955 --> 00:46:36.175
and new build roof house roofs

943

00:46:37.715 --> 00:46:41.215
is my view Thatk regards has been given to these options

944

00:46:41.835 --> 00:46:45.335
or specific the planning, the plan citing location

945

00:46:45.395 --> 00:46:48.215

of the panels that the greenhill solar panels have,

946

00:46:48.835 --> 00:46:51.815

in my opinion being selected, selected with little

947

00:46:52.115 --> 00:46:53.375

or no consideration

948

00:46:53.435 --> 00:46:55.615

to the impact they would have on the landscape

949

00:46:55.915 --> 00:46:59.135

or the local villages and the public rights of way.

950

00:47:00.235 --> 00:47:03.455

The selection seems to be made on expedient grounds,

951

00:47:03.835 --> 00:47:05.015

driven by cost.

952

00:47:05.675 --> 00:47:08.655

And I have no explanation why these fields have been

953

00:47:08.935 --> 00:47:11.255

selected when the landowner has acres

954

00:47:11.275 --> 00:47:12.895

of alternative land available

955

00:47:13.165 --> 00:47:15.095

with no public rights of way across them.

956

00:47:15.725 --> 00:47:19.655

It's also not surprising that many local people that

957

00:47:19.795 --> 00:47:21.975

to many local people that the land adjacent

958

00:47:22.035 --> 00:47:23.295

to Castle Ashby house

959

00:47:23.435 --> 00:47:26.695

and Castle Ashby Village does not seem to be considered

960

00:47:26.695 --> 00:47:28.215

for the installation of the panels.

961

00:47:30.195 --> 00:47:33.845

Therefore, and I was gonna say implore you,

962

00:47:34.025 --> 00:47:36.925

but that's a bit emotive, but I will use it

963

00:47:37.205 --> 00:47:38.245

'cause I am emotive

964

00:47:39.235 --> 00:47:42.245

that you the inspector refuse this application.

965

00:47:43.025 --> 00:47:44.885

All that you insist on land

966

00:47:44.885 --> 00:47:48.725

that has public rights away across it or adjacent to it

967

00:47:48.945 --> 00:47:52.805

or is adjacent to the local villages, is not used

968

00:47:52.825 --> 00:47:54.205

to cite solar panels.

969

00:47:54.865 --> 00:47:56.725

And the other locations are found

970

00:47:57.545 --> 00:48:00.005

the only meaning magnification for this

971

00:48:00.505 --> 00:48:03.325

to cite the panels are nonfood producing fields

972

00:48:03.895 --> 00:48:05.965

which are not adjacent to villages

973

00:48:06.345 --> 00:48:08.365

and crucially exclude any fields

974

00:48:08.365 --> 00:48:10.245

that have public rights of way.

975

00:48:13.085 --> 00:48:15.465

That's all I was gonna say about footpaths.

976

00:48:15.765 --> 00:48:16.985

The other aspect,

977

00:48:17.685 --> 00:48:21.985

and this is completely not scripted, uh, is

978

00:48:23.125 --> 00:48:24.585

the public consultation

979

00:48:24.925 --> 00:48:28.385

for this proposal has been absolutely lamentable.

980

00:48:30.315 --> 00:48:33.065

We've, in Grham, we have had one

981

00:48:34.725 --> 00:48:36.025

public consultation

982

00:48:37.525 --> 00:48:39.905

and then with a project of this size

983

00:48:40.205 --> 00:48:42.865

and this impact not

984

00:48:42.865 --> 00:48:45.865

to have more is just appalling.

985

00:48:46.775 --> 00:48:47.775

Thank you.

986

00:48:55.945 --> 00:48:59.015

Thank You very much. Um, now we've got Brian skit.

987

00:49:05.845 --> 00:49:08.495

Yeah, well yeah. Thank you

988

00:49:10.605 --> 00:49:11.605

Mr. Skecher. Can

989

00:49:11.605 --> 00:49:13.305

I just check, are you speaking on behalf

990

00:49:13.305 --> 00:49:15.025

of CPRE or the parish council

991

00:49:15.245 --> 00:49:17.545

or yourself or all of the above? Uh,

992

00:49:18.245 --> 00:49:20.025

The, well which Hat have you got on? Yeah,

993

00:49:20.425 --> 00:49:24.385

I, I'm just speak on behalf of Bo Parish Council

994

00:49:25.245 --> 00:49:27.785

and, and the second point I make will be

995

00:49:27.785 --> 00:49:29.465

for both organizations.

996

00:49:30.885 --> 00:49:34.225

Um, most of our, um,

997

00:49:34.915 --> 00:49:37.665

input into this is three written representations.

998

00:49:37.725 --> 00:49:38.985

So this will be brief.

999

00:49:39.965 --> 00:49:43.785

Um, the, the one thing that we've really struggled is

1000

00:49:43.785 --> 00:49:47.025

to get a clear answer from the applicant

1001

00:49:47.445 --> 00:49:51.585

or clear justification from the applicant of the, uh,

1002

00:49:52.115 --> 00:49:56.625

route for development that passes through Bo for,

1003

00:49:57.805 --> 00:50:02.145

um, uh, ISH one, they agreed

1004

00:50:02.215 --> 00:50:06.415

that they could access F two from

1005

00:50:07.495 --> 00:50:12.375

F three and thereby there, there didn't seem

1006

00:50:12.375 --> 00:50:15.335

to be a justification for, for using a route

1007

00:50:15.335 --> 00:50:18.995

through the village, um, in,

1008

00:50:19.135 --> 00:50:22.355

in their written responses since they, they've

1009

00:50:24.485 --> 00:50:26.715

tried to say that they need it and,

1010

00:50:26.775 --> 00:50:30.205

but the, the very latest one I,

1011

00:50:30.345 --> 00:50:33.885

as I interpret it, just says they'd like it and,

1012

00:50:34.025 --> 00:50:38.005

and the uh, the traffic wouldn't be too bad.

1013

00:50:38.945 --> 00:50:43.645

Um, so the, the parish in particular would, would like

1014

00:50:43.645 --> 00:50:47.125

to see the route three beier removed from the scheme.

1015

00:50:49.235 --> 00:50:53.255

The second point, uh, has already been touched on by, by

1016

00:50:53.875 --> 00:50:55.055

the, the mps

1017

00:50:55.435 --> 00:50:59.655

and that is the security of funding for the decommissioning.

1018

00:51:00.315 --> 00:51:04.495

Um, there's been a complete reluctance for

1019

00:51:04.755 --> 00:51:09.055

by the applicant to have any financial, um,

1020

00:51:09.805 --> 00:51:13.575

agreement on this tied to the DCO and,

1021

00:51:13.875 --> 00:51:16.255

and without that being guaranteed,

1022

00:51:16.905 --> 00:51:19.975

there is a very high risk, um, that

1023

00:51:20.505 --> 00:51:22.215

there won't be funds at the end

1024

00:51:22.215 --> 00:51:24.295

of the project to decommission it.

1025

00:51:24.755 --> 00:51:27.295

Uh, there's no no incentive to for them

1026

00:51:27.355 --> 00:51:29.055

to retain funds in the company

1027

00:51:29.875 --> 00:51:33.805

and They could, they could just simply walk away.

1028

00:51:34.545 --> 00:51:39.285

Um, but the same concern also applies to the, uh,

1029

00:51:39.915 --> 00:51:41.445

community benefit fund.

1030

00:51:42.465 --> 00:51:46.965

Um, again, they don't want anything tied to the DCO and,

1031

00:51:47.145 --> 00:51:51.885

and we've already seen at Earth Chester that the, uh,

1032

00:51:51.995 --> 00:51:54.485

community fund never materialized

1033

00:51:54.835 --> 00:51:58.285

because it, it, the project changed hands and,

1034

00:51:58.305 --> 00:52:01.325

and so we strongly request that that

1035

00:52:01.875 --> 00:52:06.865

that also is tied into the DCO, that

1036

00:52:06.865 --> 00:52:08.185

that's all I want to add today.

1037

00:52:08.195 --> 00:52:09.195

Thank you.

1038

00:52:09.875 --> 00:52:11.625

Thank you Mr. Shaw. Thank you very much.

1039

00:52:13.365 --> 00:52:15.905

Uh, so next on my list I've got Dr. Linda Tuy.

1040

00:52:23.355 --> 00:52:23.825

Thank you.

1041

00:52:23.995 --> 00:52:26.585

Thank you. Yes, I'm I'm, I'm Dr. Linda Tui.

1042

00:52:26.605 --> 00:52:27.985

I'm a resident of Wal Grave

1043

00:52:28.005 --> 00:52:29.665

and a member of Stop Greenhill Solar.

1044

00:52:30.285 --> 00:52:32.785

And thank you for the opportunity to speak, uh, directly

1045

00:52:32.785 --> 00:52:35.705

to you again in my open floor hearing address in December,

1046

00:52:36.275 --> 00:52:38.185

which was rep 3 1 1 4.

1047

00:52:38.465 --> 00:52:41.385

I concentrated on the within site layout of site A

1048

00:52:42.005 --> 00:52:43.105

and how better choices

1049

00:52:43.365 --> 00:52:45.825

by the applicant could significantly reduce the harm

1050

00:52:45.825 --> 00:52:48.745

and impact on the of the development in a variety of ways,

1051

00:52:48.745 --> 00:52:51.425

including that on wildlife and the local community.

1052

00:52:51.985 --> 00:52:53.065

Subsequently, I

1053

00:52:53.065 --> 00:52:54.825

and other war grave residents are very grateful

1054

00:52:55.055 --> 00:52:57.625

that the examiners um, have determined not

1055

00:52:57.625 --> 00:53:00.905

to accept solar development within the triangular

1056

00:53:00.905 --> 00:53:02.225

field AF 29.

1057

00:53:03.085 --> 00:53:06.745

And so the applicant has now included this in change request

1058

00:53:07.005 --> 00:53:11.185

two and see, so the latest plan for the field numbering

1059

00:53:11.445 --> 00:53:13.705

and revised detailed site layout

1060

00:53:14.405 --> 00:53:17.825

for site A is shown in CR 2 0 0 8.

1061

00:53:19.235 --> 00:53:21.505

Subsequent to this change, I plan

1062

00:53:21.505 --> 00:53:25.025

to address why development should now also be removed from

1063

00:53:25.085 --> 00:53:26.365

field AF nine

1064

00:53:27.105 --> 00:53:30.485

and the interrelated issue of the construction access

1065

00:53:30.545 --> 00:53:33.045

to fields AF two, three and four.

1066

00:53:34.785 --> 00:53:38.205

Now that the designated quiet lane Newland road will be less

1067
00:53:38.565 --> 00:53:40.005
directly impacted by the proximity

1068
00:53:40.065 --> 00:53:44.525
of 4.5 meter solar panels, I wish to question any necessity

1069
00:53:44.785 --> 00:53:47.245
to place solar arrays in field AF nine.

1070
00:53:47.945 --> 00:53:50.725
By my calculations, the area of panels planned

1071
00:53:50.745 --> 00:53:53.605
for this small field is only about 2%

1072
00:53:53.825 --> 00:53:55.725
of the total within site A.

1073
00:53:56.945 --> 00:53:59.205
The use of this field is complicated by the fact

1074
00:53:59.205 --> 00:54:00.245
that there is a to utility,

1075
00:54:00.245 --> 00:54:03.965
presumably a water main running diagonally underneath it.

1076
00:54:04.155 --> 00:54:07.165
This is shown in CR 2 0 0 8 which needs

1077
00:54:07.165 --> 00:54:08.365
to remain accessible.

1078
00:54:09.225 --> 00:54:11.965
It is also next to a watercourse which is

1079
00:54:11.965 --> 00:54:14.285
otherwise being preserved from disturbance

1080
00:54:14.475 --> 00:54:17.165

because of good suitability as a probable commuting

1081

00:54:17.165 --> 00:54:20.085

and foraging route for otters from for reservoir

1082

00:54:20.785 --> 00:54:22.925

and good suitability for water bowls

1083

00:54:22.955 --> 00:54:24.885

amongst other ecological reasons.

1084

00:54:25.625 --> 00:54:29.765

So for this please see a PP oh nine oh paragraph

1085

00:54:29.905 --> 00:54:32.405

1 4 10 and the appendices

1086

00:54:33.115 --> 00:54:35.605

maps 9 7 1 9 78.

1087

00:54:37.325 --> 00:54:40.405

Reference again to the Plan C 2 0 8 shows

1088

00:54:40.405 --> 00:54:44.605

that the other fields bordering this watercourse AF five,

1089

00:54:44.905 --> 00:54:46.165

AF six, AF seven

1090

00:54:46.225 --> 00:54:49.805

and AF 12 have been designated either for enhanced

1091

00:54:50.405 --> 00:54:52.725

existing vegetation or for damp grassland.

1092

00:54:54.165 --> 00:54:57.165

I wish to address the confusion that appears to me

1093

00:54:57.265 --> 00:55:00.445

to surround the access route for construction

1094

00:55:00.445 --> 00:55:03.565

of solar arrays within the fields AF two, three,

1095

00:55:03.625 --> 00:55:08.045

and four on the west side of site A as this is integral

1096

00:55:08.225 --> 00:55:09.605

to my argument in favor

1097

00:55:09.705 --> 00:55:12.645

of removing AF nine from solar array usage

1098

00:55:13.825 --> 00:55:16.325

in the applicant's original documents submitted

1099

00:55:16.445 --> 00:55:20.925

for examination A PP 4 4 4 figure 13.

1100

00:55:21.505 --> 00:55:25.445

Um, point 13 shows access locations for sites

1101

00:55:25.545 --> 00:55:27.685

and cable route corridors for site A.

1102

00:55:28.435 --> 00:55:33.165

This clearly shows access a dash two which is just northeast

1103

00:55:33.305 --> 00:55:35.845

of the village of Old on the Broom road.

1104

00:55:36.715 --> 00:55:41.605

However, in rep three dash oh six four which appeared today,

1105

00:55:42.065 --> 00:55:45.645

the outline construction traffic management plan revision B

1106

00:55:46.705 --> 00:55:48.405

in table 4.1,

1107

00:55:49.195 --> 00:55:53.005

this access a dash two on the Broon road is only listed

1108

00:55:53.265 --> 00:55:57.285

for use during the operational phase, not construction

1109

00:55:57.745 --> 00:55:59.965

as had been in previous UM versions.

1110

00:56:01.345 --> 00:56:05.245

On the latest revision of this site, a map CR 2 0 8,

1111

00:56:05.425 --> 00:56:07.565

it is clear that a length of the brownton road

1112

00:56:07.585 --> 00:56:09.445

by Old is within the sche order limits

1113

00:56:09.905 --> 00:56:14.605

but confusingly the access track marked within fields AF

1114

00:56:14.705 --> 00:56:17.205

1, 2, 3 and four does not appear

1115

00:56:17.225 --> 00:56:18.885

to connect to the road here.

1116

00:56:19.555 --> 00:56:24.165

However, it also does not connect Eastwood across the water

1117

00:56:24.165 --> 00:56:27.285

course to Newland Road the quiet lane.

1118

00:56:27.865 --> 00:56:31.565

So my question is how are construction vehicles expected

1119

00:56:31.625 --> 00:56:33.845

to access fields AF two, three

1120

00:56:33.865 --> 00:56:36.245

and four for the erection of solar arrays

1121
00:56:36.245 --> 00:56:37.805
and the associated works planned?

1122
00:56:38.665 --> 00:56:41.045
In my previous OFH submission,

1123
00:56:41.885 --> 00:56:44.565
I questioned why construction access to the west side

1124
00:56:44.565 --> 00:56:47.845
of site A was not designated to be from the Broon road

1125
00:56:47.845 --> 00:56:50.485
by old because that would minimize any need

1126
00:56:50.485 --> 00:56:53.605
to cross Newland road disappointingly.

1127
00:56:53.825 --> 00:56:55.885
The applicant's response to my submission,

1128
00:56:55.885 --> 00:56:58.365
which is in pages 33 to 39

1129
00:56:58.365 --> 00:57:02.445
of rep 3 1 2 9 did not answer this point at all.

1130
00:57:03.915 --> 00:57:05.615
If the applicant had intended

1131
00:57:05.725 --> 00:57:07.615
that construction in fields a F two

1132
00:57:07.615 --> 00:57:09.095
to four would be accessed

1133
00:57:09.095 --> 00:57:12.055
by crossing Newland Road at the crossing points

1134
00:57:12.855 --> 00:57:17.655

a dash one east to a dash one West in rep 1 1 57,

1135

00:57:17.885 --> 00:57:19.415

then it would be necessary

1136

00:57:19.515 --> 00:57:22.735

to construct an access route across the ecologically

1137

00:57:23.095 --> 00:57:24.935

valuable watercourse already mentioned.

1138

00:57:26.045 --> 00:57:30.255

However, the applicant states in a PP dash oh four six

1139

00:57:30.695 --> 00:57:33.735

ecology and by diversity under embedded construction

1140

00:57:33.735 --> 00:57:36.375

mitigation measures paragraph 9 8 4

1141

00:57:36.645 --> 00:57:39.495

that buffers from ecological features are applied according

1142

00:57:39.495 --> 00:57:41.815

to a set of ecological importance criteria

1143

00:57:42.205 --> 00:57:46.095

that buffers shall be 15 meters minimum from all hedge rows,

1144

00:57:46.385 --> 00:57:49.055

minor watercourse, small stream perhaps

1145

00:57:49.655 --> 00:57:51.055

I assume this is designated as

1146

00:57:51.635 --> 00:57:54.055

and that the buffers will not contain any str,

1147

00:57:54.235 --> 00:57:57.175

any ray structures, hard standing or electrical hardware.

1148

00:57:57.395 --> 00:58:00.335

And that protective construction phase fencing will also

1149

00:58:00.335 --> 00:58:01.975

observe these buffer distances.

1150

00:58:02.905 --> 00:58:07.135

Power 9, 8, 6 states that uh, um, to quote

1151

00:58:07.695 --> 00:58:10.095

internal access stroke maintenance tracks have also been

1152

00:58:10.095 --> 00:58:11.335

rooted so as

1153

00:58:11.355 --> 00:58:13.935

to avoid designated ecological buffer

1154

00:58:13.985 --> 00:58:15.255

zones wherever possible.

1155

00:58:16.735 --> 00:58:18.975

I have looked through the plans for all the other sites

1156

00:58:19.315 --> 00:58:20.735

and can find no example

1157

00:58:20.795 --> 00:58:24.055

of a construction access track crossing an ecologically I

1158

00:58:24.055 --> 00:58:25.855

port important watercourse.

1159

00:58:26.315 --> 00:58:29.975

So those were a PP 2 1 11 to 2 1 9 inclusive.

1160

00:58:31.125 --> 00:58:34.095

Therefore I believe it is essential for the applicant

1161

00:58:34.115 --> 00:58:35.215

to address this issue

1162

00:58:35.215 --> 00:58:36.935

before the end of the examination process.

1163

00:58:37.485 --> 00:58:41.615

That is they must state clearly in amended documentation

1164

00:58:41.615 --> 00:58:44.415

and mapping what route they do intend to use

1165

00:58:44.475 --> 00:58:47.575

to construct solar arrays in fields AF two to four.

1166

00:58:49.175 --> 00:58:52.195

It follows then the DEF access for constructions

1167

00:58:52.195 --> 00:58:55.995

for these fields has to be from access point A to dash two,

1168

00:58:56.295 --> 00:58:59.395

the Broon road by old as I believe it has to be.

1169

00:59:00.465 --> 00:59:01.955

Then if the very small area

1170

00:59:01.955 --> 00:59:05.035

of solar arrays in field AF nine were removed from

1171

00:59:05.035 --> 00:59:08.675

development within the scheme, there would be no need at all

1172

00:59:08.855 --> 00:59:12.675

for crossing points A one east and west on the Newland road.

1173

00:59:13.335 --> 00:59:16.195

So then no permanent access trap would be required

1174

00:59:16.195 --> 00:59:18.595

through the northern end of field AF 29,

1175

00:59:19.245 --> 00:59:21.645

which has already been removed from solar air use.

1176

00:59:21.995 --> 00:59:24.285

This field would then benefit from, from being able

1177

00:59:24.285 --> 00:59:26.805

to support arable farming in the entire field.

1178

00:59:27.965 --> 00:59:30.965

Ecological benefits would include no longer needing

1179

00:59:30.965 --> 00:59:34.005

to remove two portions of important hedge one

1180

00:59:34.005 --> 00:59:36.605

between fields AF 29 and AF 14

1181

00:59:37.065 --> 00:59:39.005

and the other between AF 29

1182

00:59:39.205 --> 00:59:42.245

and Newland Road for the access A one East.

1183

00:59:42.785 --> 00:59:44.805

And also then other benefits.

1184

00:59:44.955 --> 00:59:47.085

Skylark territories in that field would not have

1185

00:59:47.085 --> 00:59:48.285

to be disturbed at all.

1186

00:59:50.225 --> 00:59:52.565

And other benefits would include the fact

1187

00:59:52.565 --> 00:59:56.085

that field AF nine could be continued in food production

1188

00:59:56.505 --> 00:59:59.485

and the soil in that field is all in agricultural

1189

00:59:59.485 --> 01:00:00.805

land classification two.

1190

01:00:01.945 --> 01:00:03.485

So absolutely best

1191

01:00:03.485 --> 01:00:06.605

and most versatile, But most importantly

1192

01:00:06.605 --> 01:00:07.685

to the local community.

1193

01:00:07.945 --> 01:00:10.925

The only required then disturbance then to Newland Road,

1194

01:00:11.025 --> 01:00:12.325

the designated quiet lane.

1195

01:00:12.585 --> 01:00:15.005

So important for recreation for villagers

1196

01:00:15.185 --> 01:00:18.325

as I've demonstrated previously, would be the need

1197

01:00:18.385 --> 01:00:22.125

for the cable route from a fields AF two to four to cross

1198

01:00:22.145 --> 01:00:23.485

to the side of the site.

1199

01:00:24.105 --> 01:00:26.925

But otherwise there would be no need for any closure

1200

01:00:27.305 --> 01:00:29.805

or other regular disruption of the use of this road

1201

01:00:29.805 --> 01:00:32.045

during the construction or maintenance phases.

1202

01:00:33.105 --> 01:00:35.965

It would help to preserve some measure of tranquility

1203

01:00:36.465 --> 01:00:37.965

for users of this resource

1204

01:00:38.265 --> 01:00:41.365

and b of great benefit in limiting the very harmful

1205

01:00:41.515 --> 01:00:45.045

disturbance during construction of the proposed scheme both

1206

01:00:45.105 --> 01:00:47.445

to humans and all other wildlife.

1207

01:00:48.605 --> 01:00:50.365

I should therefore respectfully request

1208

01:00:50.365 --> 01:00:52.925

that the examiners recognize the logic of this argument

1209

01:00:53.435 --> 01:00:57.085

with its very small reduction in energy generation set

1210

01:00:57.085 --> 01:00:59.725

against enormous benefit to local residents

1211

01:01:00.105 --> 01:01:01.285

and consider the removal

1212

01:01:01.305 --> 01:01:06.165

of field AF nine from development in any eventual DCO if

1213

01:01:06.235 --> 01:01:07.765

they are reminded to Grant one.

1214

01:01:08.635 --> 01:01:09.685

Many thanks for listening.

1215

01:01:12.505 --> 01:01:14.175

Thank you very much. Thank you.

1216

01:01:18.515 --> 01:01:20.295

Uh, so next we've got Kay Brown, please.

1217

01:01:27.465 --> 01:01:30.955

Good afternoon. Um, I'm Kay Brown, a resident of me Ashby,

1218

01:01:31.015 --> 01:01:33.235

and a member of the Stop Greenhill Solar Group.

1219

01:01:34.045 --> 01:01:36.515

Today we'd like to raise a point which may not have been

1220

01:01:36.715 --> 01:01:38.475

considered in this examination so far

1221

01:01:39.215 --> 01:01:40.875

within the Greenhill Solar scheme,

1222

01:01:40.875 --> 01:01:43.395

there are some large landowners who have agreed

1223

01:01:43.695 --> 01:01:45.035

to their land being used.

1224

01:01:45.915 --> 01:01:49.475

A number of residents who live in rented accommodation owned

1225

01:01:49.655 --> 01:01:50.915

by such a landlord

1226

01:01:51.215 --> 01:01:54.555

or who depend on their livelihoods on a working relationship

1227

01:01:54.555 --> 01:01:57.875

with the landlord may be strongly opposed to the scheme,

1228

01:01:58.135 --> 01:01:59.595

but are afraid to speak out

1229

01:01:59.905 --> 01:02:03.235

because they fear it could jeopardize their livelihood,

1230

01:02:03.405 --> 01:02:05.035

their home, or both.

1231

01:02:06.455 --> 01:02:07.795

One hopes that this would not happen

1232

01:02:08.135 --> 01:02:10.555

and we stress that there is no evidence at this stage

1233

01:02:10.615 --> 01:02:11.715

to suggest that it has.

1234

01:02:12.345 --> 01:02:14.795

However, we hope the inspectors will understand

1235

01:02:15.185 --> 01:02:17.395

that it would not be an unreasonable concern,

1236

01:02:17.675 --> 01:02:21.365

particularly in such precarious times we have plenty

1237

01:02:21.385 --> 01:02:25.365

of anecdotal evidence for it, for example, around Site F.

1238

01:02:25.785 --> 01:02:29.605

It is a significant factor in discouraging people from

1239

01:02:29.605 --> 01:02:31.645

coming forward to voice their concerns.

1240

01:02:33.145 --> 01:02:35.445

Though the fear is real, we accept

1241

01:02:35.445 --> 01:02:36.645

that there is probably nothing

1242

01:02:36.645 --> 01:02:39.165

that can be done about it within the terms

1243

01:02:39.165 --> 01:02:40.525
of the public examination.

1244

01:02:41.635 --> 01:02:44.845
Nonetheless, stop Hill Green Solar would like to bring it

1245

01:02:44.845 --> 01:02:48.645
to the attention of the examine authority in this context

1246

01:02:49.385 --> 01:02:51.245
and with particular regard to Site F.

1247

01:02:51.705 --> 01:02:53.605
We would also commend the inspectors

1248

01:02:53.605 --> 01:02:56.685
to review two earlier submissions by Stop Greenhill solar

1249

01:02:57.885 --> 01:03:02.605
documents rep 1 2 0 4 and rep 1 2 0 3.

1250

01:03:03.735 --> 01:03:06.085
These present very strong local concerns

1251

01:03:06.395 --> 01:03:08.885
with photographs about the industrialization

1252

01:03:08.885 --> 01:03:10.045
of the beautiful landscape

1253

01:03:10.265 --> 01:03:12.165
around Eastern mortar and Horwood.

1254

01:03:12.905 --> 01:03:17.325
As things stand, the design involves closure of ancient

1255

01:03:17.325 --> 01:03:18.605
and attractive rights of way

1256

01:03:19.105 --> 01:03:22.845

and destruction of excellent views of o open country

1257

01:03:23.185 --> 01:03:25.245

and churches across a wide area.

1258

01:03:26.495 --> 01:03:28.405

These are a vital source of physical

1259

01:03:28.425 --> 01:03:30.005

and mental wellbeing for local people

1260

01:03:30.625 --> 01:03:32.325

and also for the many walkers

1261

01:03:33.305 --> 01:03:36.645

and other social users who come to use from further afield

1262

01:03:37.385 --> 01:03:39.165

on the south side of Eastern Morde.

1263

01:03:39.225 --> 01:03:43.565

The public rights of way, TD nine, TD five, TD seven,

1264

01:03:44.185 --> 01:03:48.285

and adjacent fields FF 28 to 32 are particularly affected.

1265

01:03:50.075 --> 01:03:53.055

In addition to this Meres Ashby, the public footpath,

1266

01:03:53.055 --> 01:03:57.255

which runs alongside EEF 33 would be a corridor

1267

01:03:57.365 --> 01:03:59.935

that may feel very enclosed with high fencing,

1268

01:04:01.035 --> 01:04:04.135

as will the path running between Eef 1314

1269

01:04:04.435 --> 01:04:07.775

and EF 22, which many local villagers use.

1270

01:04:10.595 --> 01:04:12.495

In addition, the Grendon path.

1271

01:04:12.995 --> 01:04:15.575

So the pathway from Grendon to ca LPE

1272

01:04:16.525 --> 01:04:18.615

will be completely removed for two years,

1273

01:04:20.145 --> 01:04:22.135

apart from the Wendell walk exception,

1274

01:04:22.925 --> 01:04:26.295

with no permissive path being suggested or put in place.

1275

01:04:27.325 --> 01:04:31.055

Currently, this is the only path that is able

1276

01:04:31.075 --> 01:04:32.975

for local villagers to walk

1277

01:04:33.075 --> 01:04:35.655

to a destination to even get a coffee.

1278

01:04:38.565 --> 01:04:41.665

We do appreciate that you visited Eastern Mor site recently

1279

01:04:41.665 --> 01:04:42.865

on an unaccompanied site.

1280

01:04:42.865 --> 01:04:45.065

Visit us two on the 26th of February.

1281

01:04:45.685 --> 01:04:47.665

At this time of year, the woods

1282

01:04:47.685 --> 01:04:52.665

and hedges are coming alive, of course,

1283

01:04:53.005 --> 01:04:56.065

and the air is once again filled with the songs of Skylarks.

1284

01:04:56.285 --> 01:04:59.025

It lifts the human spirit as it does every year.

1285

01:04:59.405 --> 01:05:02.225

The thought that it might soon be carved up fenced in,

1286

01:05:02.575 --> 01:05:06.265

paneled over industrial industrialized in the countryside

1287

01:05:06.645 --> 01:05:10.505

for 60 years is now weighing very heavily on the hearts

1288

01:05:10.505 --> 01:05:13.145

of all our local people, even though they feel

1289

01:05:14.055 --> 01:05:15.385

some might feel afraid

1290

01:05:15.565 --> 01:05:17.325

to actually voice their concerns publicly.

1291

01:05:18.145 --> 01:05:21.505

So we thank you for being able to put this on record.

1292

01:05:22.895 --> 01:05:23.895

Thank you.

1293

01:05:29.495 --> 01:05:32.365

Thank you very much. Um, and now we've got Tim Graham

1294

01:05:35.435 --> 01:05:36.605

Left my name Up.

1295

01:05:36.825 --> 01:05:39.445

Um, I've got you next. Mr. Sey? Yes. Yeah. Mr.

1296

01:05:39.865 --> 01:05:41.005

Mr. Graham first. Thank you.

1297

01:05:51.325 --> 01:05:53.455

Good afternoon. My name is Tim Graham.

1298

01:05:53.595 --> 01:05:55.335

I'm a resident of Mayors Ashby.

1299

01:05:55.475 --> 01:05:57.455

I'm on the Mayors Bash Ashby Parish Council.

1300

01:05:58.235 --> 01:06:00.015

I'm speaking also independently

1301

01:06:00.235 --> 01:06:02.735

and on behalf of many, many people

1302

01:06:02.835 --> 01:06:04.975

who have raised the following topic with me

1303

01:06:05.475 --> 01:06:07.455

and I make no apologies for raising this topic.

1304

01:06:07.565 --> 01:06:09.815

It's been raised several times already this afternoon

1305

01:06:10.245 --> 01:06:11.495

because it's important.

1306

01:06:13.995 --> 01:06:15.645

I've seen many glossy

1307

01:06:15.645 --> 01:06:18.725

and professional documents presented by the applicant,

1308

01:06:19.065 --> 01:06:21.325

and these show the supposed benefits to be offered

1309

01:06:21.345 --> 01:06:23.085

by the construction of this solar farm.

1310

01:06:24.275 --> 01:06:27.045

What I have yet to see is any specific detail

1311

01:06:27.065 --> 01:06:29.365

or plan which deals with the decommissioning

1312

01:06:29.385 --> 01:06:33.125

of the then redundant facility in some 40 to 60 years time.

1313

01:06:34.965 --> 01:06:36.375

What is to become of the miles

1314

01:06:36.395 --> 01:06:38.455

of plastic coated underground cables?

1315

01:06:39.075 --> 01:06:41.495

Do they stay buried? What happens

1316

01:06:41.515 --> 01:06:43.335

to the toxic battery banks, which

1317

01:06:43.515 --> 01:06:45.855

by then will be leaking into the environment?

1318

01:06:46.815 --> 01:06:49.505

What will be done with the crumbling concrete foundations

1319

01:06:49.825 --> 01:06:51.385

sunk deep into our countryside?

1320

01:06:52.995 --> 01:06:54.695

How will thousands of tons

1321

01:06:54.835 --> 01:06:57.415

of plastic solar paneling be disposed of?

1322

01:06:57.925 --> 01:06:59.655

Does this all end up in landfill

1323

01:06:59.705 --> 01:07:02.935

where it will never decom decompose until the end of time?

1324

01:07:04.045 --> 01:07:07.025

And above all, who is going to be responsible

1325

01:07:07.285 --> 01:07:08.985

for this environmental disaster?

1326

01:07:10.045 --> 01:07:12.865

Who is going to pay for the restitution

1327

01:07:12.885 --> 01:07:15.025

and decontamination of the countryside?

1328

01:07:17.135 --> 01:07:19.995

The ownership and responsibility will by then

1329

01:07:20.515 --> 01:07:23.515

probably be far removed from a company called Green Hill.

1330

01:07:24.455 --> 01:07:27.635

The site may have undergone multiple ownership transfers,

1331

01:07:28.335 --> 01:07:30.795

and each time this happens, the case for liability

1332

01:07:31.055 --> 01:07:35.035

for the final cleanup will be further and further diluted.

1333

01:07:36.535 --> 01:07:38.235

The final owners, whoever they are,

1334

01:07:38.705 --> 01:07:42.035

will not be remotely interested in a restoration bill

1335

01:07:42.255 --> 01:07:44.395

of many tens of millions of pounds,

1336

01:07:45.785 --> 01:07:47.705

I suspect an eventual bankruptcy

1337

01:07:47.925 --> 01:07:49.625
and the financial burden transferred

1338

01:07:49.625 --> 01:07:51.465
to the local councils and rate payers.

1339

01:07:53.145 --> 01:07:56.325
It is the fundamental task of this planning inspectorate

1340

01:07:56.665 --> 01:07:59.965
to ensure that there is more than adequate financial

1341

01:07:59.965 --> 01:08:02.885
provision allowing for future inflation

1342

01:08:03.665 --> 01:08:05.845
and approved by independent accountants

1343

01:08:06.265 --> 01:08:09.325
to make good the damage that will have been done.

1344

01:08:10.385 --> 01:08:14.475
This must be in the form of a cast iron financial bond

1345

01:08:14.995 --> 01:08:17.565
held in trust by an officially approved,

1346

01:08:17.565 --> 01:08:20.725
appointed third party in a UK bank.

1347

01:08:22.015 --> 01:08:24.395
If Greenhill can raise the investment capital

1348

01:08:24.815 --> 01:08:25.835
to build this site,

1349

01:08:26.105 --> 01:08:29.315
then it can also raise the capital eventually to dispose

1350

01:08:29.315 --> 01:08:30.755

of the site in the correct manner.

1351

01:08:32.065 --> 01:08:35.005

If there is the slightest resistance to this proposal,

1352

01:08:35.355 --> 01:08:38.325

then it becomes obvious that there is no plan

1353

01:08:38.545 --> 01:08:40.165

or no intention to clean up

1354

01:08:40.665 --> 01:08:44.125

and the planning inspectorate will have no option other than

1355

01:08:44.145 --> 01:08:45.925

to refuse it in the first place.

1356

01:08:46.835 --> 01:08:49.685

That is the responsible side of a planning.

1357

01:08:50.825 --> 01:08:55.055

There will be only one time to put this safeguard into place

1358

01:08:55.595 --> 01:08:58.095

and that time is now.

1359

01:08:59.145 --> 01:09:00.145

Thank you.

1360

01:09:07.765 --> 01:09:09.475

Thank you Mr. Ey.

1361

01:09:27.505 --> 01:09:29.605

My full name is Bernard Ey.

1362

01:09:30.245 --> 01:09:34.845

I speak here representing myself, my wife, um,

1363

01:09:35.555 --> 01:09:37.165

members of our village.

1364

01:09:38.125 --> 01:09:40.125

I live in the village of Eastern Morty

1365

01:09:40.945 --> 01:09:44.765

and also members of the, um,

1366

01:09:46.025 --> 01:09:50.965

the, the the committee, uh, which is Stop Green Hills.

1367

01:09:55.465 --> 01:09:59.925

Um, it is a feature

1368

01:10:00.065 --> 01:10:03.485

of all lithium iron batteries

1369

01:10:04.505 --> 01:10:06.245

in the Grendon Bess

1370

01:10:06.755 --> 01:10:10.805

that they have a su susceptibility to thermal,

1371

01:10:11.515 --> 01:10:14.845

runaway self combustion and explosion.

1372

01:10:17.565 --> 01:10:22.105

Uh, this was all explained by professor,

1373

01:10:24.005 --> 01:10:27.905

um, by Professor Peter Dobson,

1374

01:10:28.805 --> 01:10:33.145

who spoke earlier on behalf

1375

01:10:33.605 --> 01:10:36.665

of the Stop Greenhill Solar

1376

01:10:38.125 --> 01:10:41.105

and, uh, explained how it happened.

1377

01:10:42.715 --> 01:10:46.535

But if in fact it happens, the chemical changes

1378

01:10:47.355 --> 01:10:50.375

are extremely difficult to bring under control.

1379

01:10:51.115 --> 01:10:53.655

And in the meantime, the batteries can generate

1380

01:10:55.015 --> 01:10:57.535

considerable quantities of noxious fumes

1381

01:10:58.195 --> 01:11:02.255

and chemicals which are harmful to humans, to birds,

1382

01:11:02.475 --> 01:11:05.495

to animals, and to the environment.

1383

01:11:07.905 --> 01:11:11.515

Greenhill Solar will certainly have known all of this

1384

01:11:11.535 --> 01:11:13.685

during the whole of the time

1385

01:11:14.275 --> 01:11:16.685

that they've been advancing this application.

1386

01:11:18.375 --> 01:11:20.945

They and their present owners,

1387

01:11:21.965 --> 01:11:26.555

the Australian investors Macquarie, have set aside,

1388

01:11:27.185 --> 01:11:31.555

they disclose almost a billion pounds sterling

1389

01:11:32.455 --> 01:11:34.795

to invest in this scheme.

1390

01:11:36.875 --> 01:11:41.135

And at the same time, uh, during this process,

1391

01:11:42.245 --> 01:11:46.855

Macquarie has actually bought out Green Hills Solars

1392

01:11:46.855 --> 01:11:50.295

50%, and they are

1393

01:11:51.405 --> 01:11:54.815

also preparing to invest, uh, more money

1394

01:11:55.555 --> 01:11:56.695

in half a dozen

1395

01:11:56.875 --> 01:11:58.975

or so other solar farms

1396

01:11:59.715 --> 01:12:02.975

of comparable magnitude in this country.

1397

01:12:03.725 --> 01:12:08.005

Even now, it's unthinkable

1398

01:12:08.075 --> 01:12:11.165

that they will not have researched thoroughly

1399

01:12:12.135 --> 01:12:16.955

the availability of li uh, lithium iron

1400

01:12:17.555 --> 01:12:21.715

batteries in the market and have a very, very good idea

1401

01:12:22.445 --> 01:12:27.075

about the specific batteries which they intend

1402

01:12:27.095 --> 01:12:30.915

to install in the best areas around Grendon

1403

01:12:31.415 --> 01:12:33.075

and in Greenhill Sea.

1404

01:12:34.645 --> 01:12:39.335

What is more during the considerable time that, um,

1405

01:12:41.555 --> 01:12:45.005

Greenhill Solar and its experts have been thinking about

1406

01:12:45.705 --> 01:12:49.325

and planning the best layout in detail,

1407

01:12:50.435 --> 01:12:55.125

they cannot fail to have realized the considerable risk

1408

01:12:55.145 --> 01:12:58.205

of pollution entering the n

1409

01:12:59.225 --> 01:13:01.925

and adversely affecting the N Valley

1410

01:13:02.665 --> 01:13:04.325

and the Ramsar site.

1411

01:13:07.055 --> 01:13:10.785

When I first learned about their intentions

1412

01:13:11.965 --> 01:13:16.945

in March, 2024 in mere Ashby

1413

01:13:17.055 --> 01:13:20.495

Hall, when I learned that, uh,

1414

01:13:20.585 --> 01:13:24.375

green Hill was proposing to apply for permission

1415

01:13:24.375 --> 01:13:27.575

to construct a solar farm around

1416

01:13:29.035 --> 01:13:30.165

Eastern Morde

1417

01:13:30.625 --> 01:13:34.045

and several other villages in Northamptonshire,

1418

01:13:34.765 --> 01:13:39.205

I was alarmed at the loss of agricultural land, the damage

1419

01:13:39.305 --> 01:13:42.525

to the environment and the loss of amenity.

1420

01:13:44.025 --> 01:13:47.325

But I was ignorant of the potential damage likely

1421

01:13:47.505 --> 01:13:51.885

to be caused by the lie iron batteries.

1422

01:13:53.165 --> 01:13:54.385

And, um,

1423

01:13:55.565 --> 01:14:00.495

and I wasn't told by the applicant

1424

01:14:00.955 --> 01:14:05.215

or its representatives that that was a risk.

1425

01:14:07.365 --> 01:14:10.095

Greenhill instead explained that the function

1426

01:14:10.095 --> 01:14:14.885

of the batteries was to help store the surplus electricity

1427

01:14:15.865 --> 01:14:18.685

and return it to the grid when it was needed,

1428

01:14:20.065 --> 01:14:23.885

an explanation, which I thought was a bit sensible

1429

01:14:23.985 --> 01:14:25.845

and accepted at face value.

1430

01:14:28.575 --> 01:14:33.195

Now, that was March in June,

1431

01:14:33.815 --> 01:14:35.795

and on a number of other occasions,

1432

01:14:36.905 --> 01:14:39.075

Greenhill published a newsletter

1433

01:14:39.935 --> 01:14:43.475

in which it repeated in passing the function

1434

01:14:43.475 --> 01:14:45.195

of the batteries was storage.

1435

01:14:46.335 --> 01:14:50.045

There was no mention of the potential harm

1436

01:14:50.045 --> 01:14:52.685

that the batteries might cause then,

1437

01:14:53.865 --> 01:14:57.925

and this continued into the scoping report

1438

01:14:59.265 --> 01:15:03.725

In July, 2024, uh,

1439

01:15:04.435 --> 01:15:09.405

Greenhill Solar was sought to persuade the planners

1440

01:15:10.665 --> 01:15:14.805

in the scoping report to scope out

1441

01:15:15.505 --> 01:15:18.005

the consequences of fire

1442

01:15:18.185 --> 01:15:21.485

and explosions caused by quote,

1443

01:15:21.985 --> 01:15:23.645

the battery storage element.

1444

01:15:25.735 --> 01:15:29.195

In fact, pins rejected this

1445

01:15:29.495 --> 01:15:31.715
and required that it be scoped in

1446

01:15:32.415 --> 01:15:35.915
and placed under the heading Fires

1447

01:15:36.215 --> 01:15:40.875
and explosions For the statutory

1448

01:15:41.215 --> 01:15:42.755
con consultation.

1449

01:15:44.055 --> 01:15:46.595
The asset, the applicant issued

1450

01:15:47.435 --> 01:15:51.515
a non-technical consultation information booklet

1451

01:15:52.885 --> 01:15:57.235
dated November, 2014, containing

1452

01:15:57.785 --> 01:16:02.035
preliminary, um, um, assessments

1453

01:16:02.735 --> 01:16:05.595
of the, of the environment

1454

01:16:09.335 --> 01:16:12.795
on this date, November, 2014.

1455

01:16:13.915 --> 01:16:15.905
Under the heading Air Quality

1456

01:16:17.165 --> 01:16:20.825
at paragraph 16.4 0.4,

1457

01:16:22.975 --> 01:16:26.945
they stated that fires to batteries

1458

01:16:27.735 --> 01:16:32.115

were rare, that there was limited real world

1459

01:16:32.345 --> 01:16:36.615
information and insufficient information

1460

01:16:36.915 --> 01:16:41.295
to determine if the effects of a fire to a Bess

1461

01:16:42.605 --> 01:16:46.965
will be significant, significant in the sense that

1462

01:16:47.965 --> 01:16:50.845
dangerous to people, dangerous to the environment.

1463

01:16:53.225 --> 01:16:57.605
And under chapter 23 of this

1464

01:16:58.315 --> 01:17:02.205
non-technical, uh, consultation booklet,

1465

01:17:02.945 --> 01:17:07.285
it stated under the heading Major accidents

1466

01:17:07.345 --> 01:17:11.885
and disasters, there is no discussion

1467

01:17:12.465 --> 01:17:16.965
of battery fires saved for a one line entry

1468

01:17:17.675 --> 01:17:20.685
that they were going to put together

1469

01:17:21.385 --> 01:17:26.005
an outline battery fire safety plan.

1470

01:17:28.595 --> 01:17:29.895
Now I jump.

1471

01:17:30.315 --> 01:17:34.615
Now, the, the remarkable thing is that, uh,

1472

01:17:35.275 --> 01:17:40.205
at no time in that period of

1473

01:17:41.365 --> 01:17:45.625
a year or so did the applicant,

1474

01:17:46.725 --> 01:17:51.395
uh, enter into consultation,

1475

01:17:52.105 --> 01:17:56.675
letting it be known of the risk that it could be

1476

01:17:57.255 --> 01:18:00.475
to the community for, um, uh,

1477

01:18:01.745 --> 01:18:05.355
because of the lie iron quality of the batteries.

1478

01:18:08.205 --> 01:18:10.005
I jumped forward to May

1479

01:18:11.405 --> 01:18:16.135
because the environmental statement came in

1480

01:18:16.315 --> 01:18:19.745
May and in accordance with

1481

01:18:20.285 --> 01:18:25.185
the requirements imposed upon them by pins, they,

1482

01:18:25.765 --> 01:18:29.345
uh, set out a, a detail of the batteries

1483

01:18:29.375 --> 01:18:33.745
that they were going to, the, the features

1484

01:18:33.765 --> 01:18:36.985
of batteries that they were going to, uh, require

1485

01:18:37.125 --> 01:18:40.985

and the possibility of risk of

1486

01:18:42.095 --> 01:18:45.225
risk of fire and damage.

1487

01:18:46.985 --> 01:18:51.805
And they introduced also in detail

1488

01:18:52.625 --> 01:18:54.725
an outline battery storage

1489

01:18:55.465 --> 01:18:58.405
and safety management plan.

1490

01:19:01.055 --> 01:19:05.235
Now, if you look through all of

1491

01:19:05.265 --> 01:19:06.835
what they then put,

1492

01:19:08.835 --> 01:19:12.795
IW wish to raise with you, um,

1493

01:19:13.775 --> 01:19:16.035
one or two, uh, queries.

1494

01:19:16.615 --> 01:19:18.795
I'm not going to go through the detail of it,

1495

01:19:19.695 --> 01:19:21.955
but for example,

1496

01:19:24.335 --> 01:19:29.315
in the, um, environmental statement under chapter 23,

1497

01:19:30.125 --> 01:19:31.475
major accidents

1498

01:19:31.695 --> 01:19:36.395
and disaster, they have got risk of battery fires

1499

01:19:37.335 --> 01:19:40.035
and they set out that health

1500

01:19:40.335 --> 01:19:42.875
and safety on site would be managed

1501

01:19:43.015 --> 01:19:47.355
by a third party contractor appointed by the applicant

1502

01:19:47.855 --> 01:19:49.635
during construction

1503

01:19:50.375 --> 01:19:55.355
and decommissioning to mitigate the risk of fire in line

1504

01:19:55.355 --> 01:19:57.635
with legislative safety requirements.

1505

01:19:59.295 --> 01:20:01.195
An outline battery storage

1506

01:20:01.855 --> 01:20:04.715
safety management plan has been prepared.

1507

01:20:06.055 --> 01:20:10.875
Now there is then an assessment,

1508

01:20:12.175 --> 01:20:17.155
an explanation of certain features of the battery storage

1509

01:20:17.775 --> 01:20:19.035
safety plan.

1510

01:20:20.895 --> 01:20:24.235
But what you then find

1511

01:20:25.905 --> 01:20:30.525
is that there are a number of queries

1512

01:20:30.995 --> 01:20:33.805

that I think, um,

1513

01:20:35.675 --> 01:20:37.325

Have been raised in my mind.

1514

01:20:39.345 --> 01:20:44.125

The first thing to mention is that in the, um,

1515

01:20:45.005 --> 01:20:49.445

original documents that I have of the, um,

1516

01:20:51.105 --> 01:20:54.675

outline battery storage safety management plan,

1517

01:20:56.085 --> 01:21:00.195

there are 38 references to

1518

01:21:01.905 --> 01:21:04.875

reports which have been given

1519

01:21:05.655 --> 01:21:09.875

and are to do with certain, um, uh,

1520

01:21:10.835 --> 01:21:14.995

features of the dangers of having,

1521

01:21:15.895 --> 01:21:20.715

uh, the batteries, um, at all.

1522

01:21:22.015 --> 01:21:25.285

Um, so, um, I

1523

01:21:26.475 --> 01:21:30.925

have got the references as the last two pages

1524

01:21:31.025 --> 01:21:34.645

of the LY Battery Storage Safety Management plan,

1525

01:21:35.825 --> 01:21:38.565

but no of the reference

1526

01:21:39.165 --> 01:21:41.085
documents for supplied.

1527

01:21:43.145 --> 01:21:47.285
May I ask, sir, I wonder whether you

1528

01:21:47.285 --> 01:21:50.485
and your colleagues have had the references,

1529

01:21:52.575 --> 01:21:55.155
the, the reference doc documents?

1530

01:21:56.255 --> 01:21:59.535
Um, I haven't had them

1531

01:22:00.235 --> 01:22:03.855
and I couldn't find them either when I tried to look.

1532

01:22:04.845 --> 01:22:09.745
And I just wonder whether it is helpful to have references

1533

01:22:10.575 --> 01:22:14.865
when in no stage are they otherwise referred to.

1534

01:22:17.455 --> 01:22:21.675
Um, so those footnotes have gone there.

1535

01:22:23.055 --> 01:22:28.035
Uh, the second thing is that when the

1536

01:22:29.135 --> 01:22:33.315
online outline battery storage safety management

1537

01:22:33.945 --> 01:22:37.715
plan was written, it put

1538

01:22:38.515 --> 01:22:39.515
argument forwards

1539

01:22:39.515 --> 01:22:44.315

and backwards about the, um, um, qualities

1540

01:22:45.055 --> 01:22:48.115

and the dangers that they had to deal with.

1541

01:22:49.845 --> 01:22:53.745

Uh, equally, if you look at it carefully,

1542

01:22:54.725 --> 01:22:57.025

uh, a number of things are obvious.

1543

01:22:58.875 --> 01:23:01.675

First of all, um,

1544

01:23:06.615 --> 01:23:11.005

they do not mention at all any

1545

01:23:11.345 --> 01:23:14.205

of the batteries that they are talking about.

1546

01:23:16.435 --> 01:23:18.325

They don't indicate

1547

01:23:19.195 --> 01:23:21.645

what batteries they want to use.

1548

01:23:22.595 --> 01:23:27.315

They, I suppose, are putting it

1549

01:23:27.315 --> 01:23:31.755

to one side until they, uh, can deal with it

1550

01:23:32.365 --> 01:23:36.785

after you have in effect

1551

01:23:37.405 --> 01:23:41.025

not made a judgment about what it's set out in that, uh,

1552

01:23:41.045 --> 01:23:42.905

in environmental report.

1553
01:23:43.925 --> 01:23:48.665
Uh, because, uh, they can, I suppose,

1554
01:23:49.655 --> 01:23:52.265
rely upon the Rochdale principles.

1555
01:23:53.775 --> 01:23:58.505
That means they're simply going

1556
01:23:58.505 --> 01:24:02.745
to say that they're not in a position to make up their mind.

1557
01:24:03.925 --> 01:24:07.065
But, um, why should that stop them?

1558
01:24:07.895 --> 01:24:11.385
They must having, uh, basically put

1559
01:24:12.065 --> 01:24:16.825
a a million pounds down in order to, I'm sorry,

1560
01:24:17.425 --> 01:24:21.985
a billion pounds down in order to build the thing.

1561
01:24:23.005 --> 01:24:27.865
Surely they must have an idea as to what it is they're going

1562
01:24:27.885 --> 01:24:30.745
to put by way of batteries there.

1563
01:24:32.245 --> 01:24:36.045
And, um, in my submission,

1564
01:24:37.355 --> 01:24:42.005
they are just simply making it more difficult for people.

1565
01:24:42.905 --> 01:24:46.805
And I endorsed the remark of Trevor Higgs

1566
01:24:47.425 --> 01:24:51.845

who pointed out that their, um, um,

1567

01:24:54.075 --> 01:24:56.005

consultations statutory

1568

01:24:56.155 --> 01:25:00.845

otherwise has included, um, places where

1569

01:25:01.515 --> 01:25:06.185

they have, I suggest deliberately not

1570

01:25:07.465 --> 01:25:10.625

identified what it is they have in mind

1571

01:25:11.485 --> 01:25:15.625

simply in order to make it more difficult for anyone

1572

01:25:16.285 --> 01:25:20.665

to be able to discuss it and challenge it.

1573

01:25:22.255 --> 01:25:26.085

Apart from which, although it reads quite well,

1574

01:25:27.115 --> 01:25:31.045

it's quite obvious that they're not talking about one type

1575

01:25:31.105 --> 01:25:33.965

of battery rather than a number

1576

01:25:34.145 --> 01:25:35.925

of different types of battery.

1577

01:25:36.945 --> 01:25:40.245

Uh, leaving it to us to guess what,

1578

01:25:40.465 --> 01:25:42.485

if anything they refer to.

1579

01:25:44.155 --> 01:25:46.065

There are two other things I'd mention,

1580

01:25:49.015 --> 01:25:53.815

although it appears to give a good explanation

1581

01:25:54.635 --> 01:25:58.375

of how certain, uh,

1582

01:25:58.475 --> 01:26:00.455

safety measures are designed.

1583

01:26:01.355 --> 01:26:06.045

Uh, they haven't in fact dealt with sheer

1584

01:26:06.655 --> 01:26:07.925

human failure

1585

01:26:11.965 --> 01:26:16.545

and nor have they noticed that they have

1586

01:26:17.645 --> 01:26:20.225

failed to heed the fact

1587

01:26:20.935 --> 01:26:25.335

That The substances that the batteries are going

1588

01:26:25.335 --> 01:26:28.975

to contain is a hazard,

1589

01:26:29.235 --> 01:26:32.615

are hazardous substances which are

1590

01:26:33.635 --> 01:26:38.175

for which they have no consent under the, um,

1591

01:26:38.515 --> 01:26:42.735

um, under the statute, uh,

1592

01:26:42.735 --> 01:26:45.095

which is the, um,

1593

01:26:48.035 --> 01:26:52.405

control of major accident hazards Regulations two,

1594

01:26:53.265 --> 01:26:54.605

2015

1595

01:26:55.505 --> 01:26:58.085

and Hazard Substances Regulations

1596

01:26:58.865 --> 01:27:00.605

2015.

1597

01:27:01.905 --> 01:27:06.685

Uh, there has been no attempt to get consent

1598

01:27:06.745 --> 01:27:11.525

for that, and that appears to be something

1599

01:27:11.525 --> 01:27:13.605

that they've not noticed.

1600

01:27:16.235 --> 01:27:17.235

Thank you.

1601

01:27:18.515 --> 01:27:19.625

Thank you, Mr. Ey.

1602

01:27:27.525 --> 01:27:27.815

Okay.

1603

01:27:31.155 --> 01:27:35.295

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, take a quick break.

1604

01:27:35.755 --> 01:27:37.055

Do 15 minutes.

1605

01:27:40.805 --> 01:27:42.135

Okay. Thank you very much. Um,

1606

01:27:42.135 --> 01:27:43.415

we just propose at this stage

1607

01:27:43.575 --> 01:27:44.935

'cause we've been going for an hour and a half, just

1608

01:27:44.935 --> 01:27:46.295

to take a quick 10 minute break.

1609

01:27:46.875 --> 01:27:50.095

Um, so if we come back at 20 to four, um,

1610

01:27:50.095 --> 01:27:51.295

so the hearing's adjourned.

1611

01:27:51.295 --> 01:27:51.455

Thank you.